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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The media and public fascination with who is detained at Guantanamo and why has been fueled in large measure by the refusal of the Government, on the grounds of national security, to provide much information about the individuals and the charges against them. The information available to date has been anecdotal and erratic, drawn largely from interviews with the few detainees who have been released or from statements or court filings by their attorneys in the pending habeas corpus proceedings that the Government has not declared “classified.”

This Report is the first effort to provide a more detailed picture of who the Guantanamo detainees are, how they ended up there, and the purported bases for their enemy combatant designation. The data in this Report is based entirely upon the United States Government’s own documents.¹ This Report provides a window into the Government’s success detaining only those that the President has called “the worst of the worst.”

Among the data revealed by this Report:

1. Fifty-five percent (55%) of the detainees are not determined to have committed any hostile acts against the United States or its coalition allies.

2. Only 8% of the detainees were characterized as al Qaeda fighters. Of the remaining detainees, 40% have no definitive connection with al Qaeda at all and 18% are have no definitive affiliation with either al Qaeda or the Taliban.

3. The Government has detained numerous persons based on mere affiliations with a large number of groups that in fact, are not on the Department of Homeland Security terrorist watchlist. Moreover, the nexus between such a detainee and such organizations varies considerably. Eight percent are detained because they are deemed “fighters for;” 30% considered “members of;” a large majority – 60% -- are detained merely because they are “associated with” a group or groups the Government asserts are terrorist organizations. For 2% of the prisoners their nexus to any terrorist group is unidentified.

4. Only 5% of the detainees were captured by United States forces. 86% of the detainees were arrested by either Pakistan or the Northern Alliance and turned over to United States custody.

* The authors are counsel for two detainees in Guantanamo.
This 86% of the detainees captured by Pakistan or the Northern Alliance were handed over to the
United States at a time in which the United States offered large bounties for capture of suspected
enemies.

5. Finally, the population of persons deemed not to be enemy combatants – mostly
Uighers – are in fact accused of more serious allegations than a great many persons still deemed to
be enemy combatants.
INTRODUCTION

The United States Government detains over 500 individuals at Guantanamo Bay as so-called “enemy combatants.” In attempting to defend the necessity of the Guantanamo detention camp, the Government has routinely referred this group as “the worst of the worst” of the Government’s enemies. The Government has detained most these individuals for more than four years; only approximately 10 have been charged with any crime related to violations of the laws of war. The rest remain detained based on the Government’s own conclusions, without prospect of a trial or judicial hearing. During these lengthy detentions, the Government has had sufficient time for the Government to conclude whether, in fact, these men were enemy combatants and to document its rationale.

On March 28, 2002, in a Department of Defense briefing, Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld said:

As has been the case in previous wars, the country that takes prisoners generally decides that they would prefer them not to go back to the battlefield. They detain those enemy combatants for the duration of the conflict. They do so for the very simple reason, which I would have thought is obvious, namely to keep them from going right back and, in this case, killing more Americans and conducting more terrorist acts.

The Report concludes, however, that the large majority of detainees never participated in any combat against the United States on a battlefield. Therefore, while setting aside the significant legal and constitutional issues at stake in the Guantanamo litigation presently being considered in the federal courts, this Report merely addresses the factual basis underlying the public representations regarding the status of the Guantanamo detainees.

Part I of this Report describes the sources and limitations of the data analyzed here. Part II describes the “findings” the Government has made. The “findings” in this sense, constitutes the Government’s determination that the individual in question is an enemy combatant, which is in turn based on the Government’s classifications of terrorist groups, the asserted connection of the individual with the purported terrorist groups, as well as the commission of “hostile acts,” if any, that the Government has determined an individual has committed. Part III then examines the evidence, including sources for such evidence, upon which the Government has relied in making these findings. Part IV addresses the continued detention of individuals deemed not to be enemy

---

2 The Washington Post, in an article dated October 23, 2002 quoted Secretary Rumsfeld as terming the detainees “the worst of the worst.” In an article dated December 22, 2002, the Post quoted Rear Adm. John D. Stufflebeem, Deputy Director of Operations for the Joint Chiefs of Staff, “They are bad guys. They are the worst of the worst, and if let out on the street, they will go back to the proclivity of trying to kill Americans and others.” Donald Rumsfeld Holds Defense Department Briefing. (2002, March 28). FDCH Political Transcripts. Retrieved January 10, 2006 from Lexis-Nexis database.

combatants, comparing the Government’s allegations against such persons to similar or more serious allegations against persons still deemed to be “enemy combatants.”

I.   THE DATA

The data in this Report are based on written determinations the Government has produced for detainees it has designated as enemy combatants. These written determinations were prepared following military hearings commenced in 2004, called Combatant Status Review Tribunals, designed to ascertain whether a detainee should continue to be classified as an “enemy combatant.” The data are obviously limited. The data are framed in the Government’s terms and therefore are no more precise than the Government’s categories permit. Finally, the charges are anonymous in the sense that the summaries upon which this interim report relies are not identified by name or ISN for any of the prisoners. It is therefore not possible at this time to determine which summary applies to which prisoner.

Within these limitations, however, the data are very powerful because they set forth the best case for the status of the individuals the Government has processed. The data reviewed are the documents prepared by the Government containing the evidence upon which the Government relied in making its decision that these detainees were enemy combatants. The Report assumes that the information contained in the CSRT Summaries of Evidence is an accurate description of the evidence relied upon by the Government to conclude that each prisoner is an enemy combatant.

Such summaries were filed by the Government against each individual detainee’s in advance of the Combatant Status Review Tribunal (CRST) hearing.

---

4 The files reviewed are available at the Seton Hall Law School library, Newark, NJ.

5 There is other data currently being compiled based on different information. Each prisoner at Guantanamo who has had summaries of evidence filed against them has had an internal administrative evaluation of the charges. The process is that a Combatant Status Review Tribunal, or CSRT, has received the charges and considered them. Some of those enemy detainees who are represented by counsel in pending habeas corpus Federal District Courts have received (when so ordered by the Federal District Court Judge) the classified and declassified portion of the CSRT proceedings. The CSRT proceedings are described as CSRT returns. The declassified portion of those CSRT returns are being reviewed and placed into a companion data base.
II. THE GOVERNMENT’S FINDINGS OF ENEMY COMBATANT STATUS

A. Structure of the Government’s Findings

As to each detainee, the Government provides what it denominates as a “summary of evidence.” Each summary contains the following sentence:

The United States Government has previously determined that the detainee is an enemy combatant. This determination is based on information possessed by the United States that indicates that the detainee is....

[Emphasis supplied]

Since the Government had “previously determined” that each detainee at Guantanamo Bay was an enemy combatant before the CSRT hearing, the “summary of evidence” released by the Government is not the Government’s allegations against each detainee but a summary of the Government's proofs upon which the Government found that each detainee, is in fact, an enemy combatant.

Each summary of evidence has four numbered paragraphs. The first \(^6\) and fourth \(^7\) are jurisdictional. The second \(^8\) paragraph states the Government’s definition of “enemy combatant” for the purpose of the CSRT proceedings.

The third paragraph summarizes the evidence that satisfied the Government that each detainee is an enemy combatant. Paragraph 3(a) is the Government's determination of the detainee relationship with a “defined terrorist organization.” \(^9\) Paragraph 3(b) is the place in which Government’s finds that a detainee has or has not committed “hostile acts” against U.S. or coalition forces.

Forty five percent of the time the Government concluded that the detainee committed 3(b) hostile acts against United States or coalition forces. In those cases, there is a paragraph 3(b) (“¶3(b)” in the CSRT summary so stating. Fifty five percent of the time, the Government

---

\(^6\) Paragraph 1: “Under the provisions of the Department of the Navy Memorandum, dated 29 July 2004, Implementation of Combatant Status Review Tribunal Procedures for enemy Combatants Detained at Guantanamo Bay Naval Base Cuba, a Tribunal has been appointed to review the detainee’s designation as an enemy combatant.”

\(^7\) Paragraph 4: “The detainee has the opportunity to contest his determination as an enemy combatant. The Tribunal will endeavor to arrange for the presence of any reasonably available witnesses or evidence that the detainee desires to call or introduce to prove that he is not an enemy combatant. The Tribunal President will determine the reasonable availability of evidence or witnesses.”

\(^8\) Paragraph 2: “An Enemy Combatant has been defined as: [A]n individual who was part of or supporting the Taliban or al Qaeda forces, or associated forces that are engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners. This includes any person who committed a belligerent act or has directly supported hostilities in aid of enemy forces.” [Emphasis supplied]

\(^9\) Many of the “defined terrorist organizations” referenced in the CSRT summaries of evidence are not considered terrorist organizations by the Department of Homeland Security. See Infra.
concluded that the detainee did not commit such an act and omitted the entire ¶3(b) section from the CSRT summary. For these detainees whose CSRT summaries include a finding under ¶3(b), the Government listed its specific findings ‘proving’ hostile acts in a brief series of sub-paragraphs. Of those CSRT summaries that contain a ¶3(b) “hostile acts” determination, the mean number of sub-paragraphs is two; that is, for the 55% of detainees the Government has found committed ¶3(b) “hostile acts” the Government lists, on average two pieces of evidence. Fewer than 2% of all 517 CSRT summaries contained more than five ¶3(b) sub-paragraphs; while the vast majority contained 1, 2 or 3 such ‘proofs’ of hostile acts.

B. The Definition of an ‘Enemy Combatant’

For the purposes of the Combatant Status Review Tribunal, an “enemy combatant” has been defined as:

[A]n individual who was part of or supporting the Taliban or al Qaeda forces, or associated forces that are engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners. This includes any person who committed a belligerent act or has directly supported hostilities in aid of enemy forces.10

This could be interpreted alternatively as requiring either a combatant be both a member of prohibited group and engaged in hostilities against the U.S. or coalition forces or only that a combatant be anyone either a member of prohibited group or engaged in hostilities to U.S. or coalition forces. Indeed, under this definition, one could be detained for an undefined level of “support of” groups considered hostile to the United States or its coalition partners.

C. Categories of Evidence Supporting Enemy Combatant Designation

10 The definition of “enemy combatants” for the purpose of the Guantanamo detainment has evolved over time. In January 2002, when the first detainees were sent from Pakistan and Afghanistan to Cuba they were termed, as were the detainees in Ex Parte Quirin, (47 F.Supp. 431) “unlawful belligerents.” In Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, (542 U.S. 507) the Government defined “enemy combatant” far more narrowly as someone who was “‘part of or supporting forces hostile to the United States or coalition partners’ in Afghanistan and who ‘engaged in an armed conflict against the United States’ there.” Later, in response to Rasul v. Bush (542 U.S. 466), the detainees were called “enemy combatants.” (Emphasis supplied)

In February 2004, Secretary Rumsfeld, said, “The circumstances in which individuals are apprehended on the battlefield can be ambiguous, as I’m sure people here can understand. This ambiguity is not only the result of the inevitable disorder of the battlefield; it is an ambiguity created by enemies who violate the laws of war by fighting in civilian clothes, by carrying multiple identification documentations, by having three, six, eight, in one case 13 different …aliases…. Because of this ambiguity, even after enemy combatants are detained, it takes time to check stories, to resolve inconsistencies or, in some cases, even to get the detainee to provide any useful information to help resolve the circumstance.”

In an August 13, 2004 News Briefing, Gordon England, Secretary of the Navy and Secretary Rumsfeld's designee for the tribunal process at Guantanamo stated that, “The definition of an enemy combatant is in the implementing orders, which have been passed out to everyone. But, in short, it means anyone who is part of supporting the Taliban or al Qaeda forces or associated forces engaging in hostilities against the United States or our coalition partners.”
The Government divides the evidence against detainees into two sections: a §3(a) nexus with prohibited organizations and a §3(b) participation in military operations or commission of hostile acts. Paragraph 3 always begins with the allegations that each detainee met all the requirements contained in the definition of paragraph two. More often than not the Government finds that the detainees did not commit the hostile or belligerent acts.

1. §3(a): Enemy Combatant because of Nexus with Prohibited Organization

a. Definition of Prohibited Organizations

The data reveals that the Government divides a detainee's enemy combatant status into six distinct categories that describe the terrorist organization with whom the detainee is affiliated. Figure 1 illustrates the breakdown of each group’s representation by the data:

1. al Qaeda (32%)
2. al Qaeda & Taliban (28%)
3. Taliban (22%)
4. al Qaeda OR Taliban (7%)
5. Unidentified Affiliation (10%)
6. Other (1%)

The CSRT Summary of Evidence provides no way to determine the difference between “unidentified/none alleged” and “other” and no explanation for why there are separate categories for both “al Qaeda and Taliban” and “al Qaeda or Taliban.”

If, after four years of detention, the Government is unable to determine if a detainee is either al Qaeda or Taliban, then it is reasonable to conclude that the detainee is neither. Under this assumption, the data reveals that 40% of the detainees are not affiliated with al Qaeda and 18% percent of the detainees are not affiliated with either al Qaeda or the Taliban.
b. Nexus with the Identified Organization

The Government also describes each prisoner’s nexus to the respective organization: “fighter for,” “member of,” and “associated with.” The data explain that there are three main degrees of connection between the detainee and the organization with which he is connected. Detainees are either:

1. “Fighters for”
2. “Members of”
3. “Associated with”

Figure 2 illustrates that of the nexus type for all the prisoners, regardless of the group to which they are “connected,” by far the greatest number of prisoners are identified only as being “associated with” one group or another. A much smaller percentage – 30% – is identified as “members of.” Only 8% are classified as “fighters for.”

The definition of “fighters for” would seem to be obvious, while definitions of “members of” and “associated with” are less clear and could justify a very broad level of attenuation. According to the Government’s expert on al Qaeda membership, Evan Kohlman, simply being told that one had been selected as a member would qualify one as a member:

Al-Qaeda leaders could dispatch one of their own — someone who is not top tier…to recruit someone and to tell them, I have been given a mandate to do this on behalf of senior al-Qaeda leaders… even though perhaps this individual has never sworn an official oath and this person has never been to an al-Quaeda training camp, nor have they actually met, say, Osama bin Ladin.12

This expansive definition of membership in al Qaeda could thus be applied to anyone who the Government believed ever spoke to an al Qaeda member. Even under this broad framework, the Government concluded that a full 60% of the detainees do not have even that minimum level of contact with an al Qaeda member.

11 While more than 95% of the summaries of the evidence used one of these three categories, approximately 4% used other nexus descriptions. Most notably, 2% used a “supported” descriptor which was re-categorized as “associated with.” See Appendix C for a full account of re-categorizations of data.
Membership in the Taliban is different and also not clearly defined. According to the Government, one can be a conscripted (and therefore presumably unwilling) member of the Taliban and still be an enemy combatant.

Figures 3 and 4 compare the nexus between enemy combatants with Al Qaeda and the Taliban. In contrast to the “al Qaeda only” category, the “Taliban only” category shows that a significantly higher percentage of the prisoners are designated “members of” and “fighters for” with a reduced number being “associated with.”

Seventy eight percent of those prisoners who are identified as being both “al Qaeda and Taliban” are merely "associated with;" 19% are "members of;" and 3% are "fighters for." (Fig. 5) When the Government cannot specifically identify a detainee as a member of one or the other, al Qaeda or the Taliban, the degree of connection attributed to such detainees appears tenuous. (Fig. 6)

The Government’s summary of evidence
recognizes that more often than not members of the Taliban are not members of al Qaeda. The Government categorizes as stand alone al Qaeda or stand alone Taliban more than 54% of the detainees, and only 28% of the detainees as members of both.

The data provides no explanation for the explicit distinction between those persons identified as being connected to “al Qaeda and the Taliban” as opposed to “al Qaeda or the Taliban”. [Emphasis supplied]

2. ¶ 3(b): The Government’s Findings on Detainees’ 3(b) Hostile Acts against the United States or Coalition Forces

Although the Government’s public position is that these detainees are “the worst of the worst,” see supra note 2, the data demonstrates that the Government has already concluded that a majority of those who continue to be detained at Guantanamo have no history of any 3(b) hostile act against the United States or its allies.

According to the Government, fewer than half of the detainees engaged in 3(b) hostile acts against the United States or any members of its coalition. As figure 7 depicts, the Government has concluded that no more than 45% of the detainees have committed some 3(b) hostile act.

![Fig. 7](image-url)
This is true even though the Government’s definition of a 3(b) hostile act is not demanding. As an example, the following was the evidence that the Government determined was sufficient to constitute a 3(b) hostile act:

The detainee participated in military operations against the United States and its coalition partners.
1. The detainee fled, along with others, when the United States forces bombed their camp.
2. The detainee was captured in Pakistan, along with other Uigher fighters.\textsuperscript{13}

Cross-analyzing the ¶3(a) and ¶3(b) data, individuals in some groups are less likely to have committed hostile acts than those in others. In the group “al Qaeda or Taliban,” for example, 71% of the detainees have not been found to have committed any hostile act. (See Fig. 8)

Of the “other” detainees in Figure 9, that is, the 18% whose 3(a) is either “Unidentified”, “None alleged”, “al Qaeda OR Taliban” or “other,” only 24% have been determined to have committed a 3(b) hostile act. (See Fig 10)

\textsuperscript{13} See CSRT Summary of Evidence available at the Seton Hall Law School library, Newark, NJ [Emphasis supplied].
Thus, the less clear the Government’s characterization of a detainee’s affiliation with a prohibited group is, the less likely the detainee is to have committed a hostile act. This is notable because the percentage of detainees with whom the Government cannot clearly connect with a prohibited group is so large.14

The same pattern holds true when the degree of connection between the detainee and the affiliated group lessens. Thirty-two percent of the detainees are stand alone al Qaeda. Fifty seven percent of those detainees have a nexus to al Qaeda described as “associated with.” Of those 57% whom are merely associated with al Qaeda, 72% of them have not committed 3(b) hostile acts. (See Fig. 3 and 11) Thus, the data illustrates that not only are the majority of the al Qaeda detainees merely “associated with” al Qaeda, but the Government concludes that a substantial percentage of those detainees did not commit 3(b) hostile acts.

14 See Fig 1: “3(a) Group Affiliations” supra, p. 7: the sum of “al Qaeda OR Taliban” (7%); Unidentified/“None alleged” (10%); and “Other” (1%) equals 18%. This is the 18% that is represented as “Others” in Fig. 9.
III. THE GOVERNMENT’S EVIDENCE THAT THE DETAINERS ARE ENEMY COMBATANTS

The data permit at least some answers to two questions: How was the evidence of their enemy combatant status obtained? What evidence does the Government have as to the detainees commission of 3(b) violations?

A. Sources of Detainees and Reliability of the Information about Them

Figure 12 explains who captured the detainees. Pakistan was the source of at least 36% of all detainees, and the Afghanistan Northern Alliance was the source of at least 11% more. The pervasiveness of Pakistani involvement is made clear in Figure 13 which shows that of the 56% whose captor is identified, 66% of those detainees were captured by Pakistani Authorities or in Pakistan. Thus, if 66% of the unknown 44% were derived from Pakistan, the total captured in Pakistan or by Pakistani Authorities is fully 66%.

Since the Government presumably knows which detainees were captured by United States forces, it is safe to assume that those whose providence is not known were captured by some third party. The conclusion to be drawn from the Government’s evidence is that 93% of the detainees were not apprehended by the United States. (See Fig. 12) Hopefully, in assessing the enemy combatant status of such detainees, the Government appropriately addressed the reliability of information provided by those turning over detainees although the data provides no assurances that any proper safeguards against mistaken identification existed or were followed.

---

15 Presuming a fixed 7% of detainees were captured by US or coalition forces, the remaining detainees whose captor is unknown can be extrapolated to 68% “Pakistani Authorities or in Pakistan”, 21% “Northern Alliance/Afghan Authorities”, and 4% “other.”
The United States promised (and apparently paid) large sums of money for the capture of persons identified as enemy combatants in Afghanistan and Pakistan. One representative flyer, distributed in Afghanistan, states:

Get wealth and power beyond your dreams....You can receive millions of dollars helping the anti-Taliban forces catch al-Qaida and Taliban murders. This is enough money to take care of your family, your village, your tribe for the rest of your life. Pay for livestock and doctors and school books and housing for all your people.16

Bounty hunters or reward-seekers handed people over to American or Northern Alliance soldiers in the field, often soon after disappearing;17 as a result, there was little opportunity on the field to verify the story of an individual who presented the detainee in response to the bounty award. Where that story constitutes the sole basis for an individual’s detention in Guantanamo, there would be little ability either for the Government to corroborate or a detainee to refute such an allegation.

As shall be seen in consideration of the Uighers, the Government has found detainees to be enemy combatants based upon the information provided by the bounty hunters. As to the Uighers, at least, there is no doubt that bounties were paid for the capture and detainment of individuals who were not enemy combatants.18 The Uigher have yet to be released.

The evidence satisfactory to the Government for some of the detainees is formidable. For this group, the Government’s evidence portrays a detainee as a powerful, dangerous and knowledgeable man who enjoyed positions of considerable power within the prohibited organizations. The evidence against them is concrete and plausible. The evidence provided for most of the detainees, however, is far less impressive.

The summaries of evidence against a small number of detainees indicate that some of the prisoners played important roles in al Qaeda. This evidence, on its face, seems reliable. For instance, the Government found that 11% of the detainees met with Bin Laden. Other examples include:

- A detainee who is alleged to have driven a rocket launcher to combat against the Northern Alliance.
- A detainee who held a high ranking position in the Taliban and who tortured,

---

16 See Infra., Appendix A.
maimed, and murdered Afghani nationals who were being held in Taliban jails

- A detainee who was present and participated in al Qaeda meetings discussing the September 11th attacks before they occurred.
- A detainee who produced al Qaeda propaganda, including the video commemorating the USS Cole attack.
- A detainee who was a senior al Qaeda lieutenant.
- 11 detainees who swore an oath to Osama Bin Laden.

The previous examples are atypical of the CSRT summaries. There are only a very few individuals who are actively engaged in any activities for al Qaeda and for the Taliban.

The 11 detainees who swore an oath to Osama Bin Laden are only a tiny fraction of the total number of the detainees at Guantanamo.

The Taliban is a different story.

The Taliban was a religious state which demanded the most extreme compliance of all of its citizens and as such controlled all aspects of their lives through pervasive Governmental and religious operation. Under Mullah Omar, there were 11 governors and various ministers who dealt with such various issues as permission for journalists to travel, over-seeing the dealings between the Taliban and NGOs for UN aid projects and the like. By 1997, all international “aid projects had to receive clearance not just from the relevant ministry, but also from the ministries of Interior, Public Health, Police, and the Department of the Promotion of Virtue and Prevention of Vice.” There was a Health Minister, Governor of the State Bank, an Attorney General, an Education Minister, and an Anti-Drug Control Force. Each city had a mayor, chief of police, and senior administrators.

None of these individuals are at Guantanamo Bay.

The Taliban detainees seem to be people not responsible for actually running the country. Many of the detainees held at Guantanamo were involved with the Taliban unwillingly as conscripts or otherwise.

General conscription was the rule, not the exception, in Taliban controlled Afghanistan. “All the warlords had used boy soldiers, some as young as 12 years old, and many were orphans with no hope of having a family, or education, or a job, except soldiering.”

---

21 See Id., p. 114.
23 Id.
24 See Id., p100.
Just as strong evidence proves much, weak evidence suggests more. Examples of evidence that the Government cited as proof that the detainees were enemy combatants includes the following:

- Associations with unnamed and unidentified individuals and/or organizations;
- Associations with organizations, the members of which would be allowed into the United States by the Department of Homeland Security;
- Possession of rifles;
- Use of a guest house;
- Possession of Casio watches; and
- Wearing of olive drab clothing.

The following is an example of the entire record for a detainee who was conscripted into the Taliban:

a. Detainee is associated with the Taliban
   i. The detainee indicates that he was conscripted into the Taliban.

b. Detainee engaged in hostilities against the US or its coalition partners.
   i. The detainee admits he was a cook’s assistant for Taliban forces in Narim, Afghanistan under the command of Haji Mullah Baki.
   ii. Detainee fled from Narim to Kabul during the Northern Alliance attack and surrendered to the Northern Alliance. 26

All declassified information supports the conclusion that this detainee remains at Guantanamo Bay to this date.

Other detainees have been classified as enemy combatants because of their association with unnamed individuals. A typical example of such evidence is the following:

The detainee is associated with forces that are engaged in hostilities against the United States and its coalition partners:
2) The detainee traveled and shared hotel rooms with an Afghani.
3) The Afghani the detainee traveled with is a member of the Taliban Government.
4) The detainee was captured on 10 December 2001 on the

---

26 See CSRT Summary of Evidence available at the Seton Hall Law School library, Newark, NJ.
Some of these detainees were found to be enemy combatants based on their association with identified organizations which themselves are not proscribed by the Department of Homeland Security from entering the United States. In analyzing the charges against the detainees, the Combatant Status Review Board identified 72 organizations that are used to evidence links between the detainees and al Qaeda or the Taliban.

These 72 organizations were compared to the list of Foreign Terrorist Organizations in the Terrorist Organization Reference Guide of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the Office of Border Patrol. This Reference Guide was published in January of 2004 which was the same year in which the charges were filed against the detainees. According to the Reference Guide, the purpose of the list is “to provide the Field with a ‘Who’s Who’ in terrorism.” Those 74 foreign terrorist organizations are classified in two groups: 36 “designated foreign terrorist organizations,” as designated by the Secretary of State, and 38 “other terrorist groups,” compiled from other sources.

Comparing the Combatant Status Review Board's list of 72 organizations that evidence the detainee’s link to al Qaeda and/or the Taliban, only 22% of those organizations are included in the Terrorist Organization Reference Guide. Further, the Reference Guide describes each organization, quantifies its strength, locations or areas of operation, and sources of external aid. Based on these descriptions of the organizations, only 11% of all organizations listed by the Combatant Status Review Board as proof of links to al Qaeda or the Taliban are identified as having any links to Qaeda or the Taliban in the Terrorist Organization Reference Guide.

Only 8% of the organizations identified by the Combatant Status Review Board even target U.S. interests abroad.

---

27 See CSRT Summary of Evidence available at the Seton Hall Law School library, Newark, NJ.
29 It continues: “The main players and organizations are identified so the CBP [Customs and Border Protection] Officer and BP [Border Protection] Agent can associate what terror groups are from what countries, in order to better screen and identify potential terrorists.” Unlike the many other compilations of terrorist organizations published by the Government since 9/11, including the list of the Office of Foreign Asset Control (OFAC) used to monitor or block international funds transfers to suspected and known terrorist organizations and their supporters, the Terrorist Organization Reference Guide identifies the 74 “main players and organizations” in terrorism.
The evidence against 39% of the detainees rests in part upon the possession of a Kalashnikov rifle.

Possession of a rifle in Afghanistan does not distinguish a peaceful civilian from any terrorist. The Kalashnikov culture permeates both Afghanistan and Pakistan.30

Our economy has been suffering and continues to suffer because of the situation in Afghanistan. Rampant terrorism as well as the culture of drugs and guns – that we call the "Kalashnikov Culture" – tearing apart our social and political fabric – was also a direct legacy of the protracted conflict in Afghanistan.31

This is recognized not merely by the Pakistani Foreign minister but by American college students touring Afghanistan. “There is a big Kalashnikov-rifle culture in Afghanistan: ...I was somewhat bemused when I walked into a restaurant this afternoon to find Kalashnikovs hanging in the place of coats on the rack near the entrance, ….”32

---

30 Afghanistan is also the world's center for unaccounted weapons; thus, there is no exact count on the number of weapons in circulation. Arms experts have estimated that there are at least 10 million small arms in the country. The arms flow has included Soviet weapons funneled into the country during the 1979 invasion, arms from Pakistan supplied to the Taliban, and arms from Tajikistan that equipped the Northern Alliance. NEA’s Statements on Afghanistan and the Taliban. Retrieved February 6, 2006 from http://neahin.org/programs/schoolsafety/september11/materials/nmnea.htm.


The Government treats the presence at a “guest house” as evidence of being an enemy combatant. The evidence against 27% of the detainees included their residences while traveling through Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Stopping at such facilities is common for all people traveling in the area. In the region, the term guest house refers simply to a form of travel accommodation. Numerous travel and tourism agencies, such as Worldview Tours, South Travels, and Adventure Travel include overnight stays at local guest houses and rest houses on their tour package itineraries and lists of accommodations, which are marketed to western tourists. Guesthouses and rest houses typically offer budget rates and breakfast American travel agents advise American tourists to expect to stay in guest houses in either country.

In a handful of cases the detainee’s possession of a Casio watch or the wearing olive drab clothing is cited as evidence that the detainee is an enemy combatant. No basis is given to explain why such evidence makes the detainee an enemy combatant.

---


IV. CONTINUED DETENTION OF NON-COMBATANTS

The most well recognized group of individuals who were held to be enemy combatants and for whom summaries of evidence are available are the Uighers. These individuals are now recognized to be Chinese Muslims who fled persecution in China to neighboring countries. The detainees then fled to Pakistan when Afghanistan came under attack by the United States after September 11, 2001. The Uighers were arrested in Pakistan and turned over to the United States.

At least two dozen Uighurs found in Afghanistan and Pakistan has been detained in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The Government originally determined that these men were enemy combatants, just as the Government so determined for all of the other detainees. The Government has now decided that many of the Uighur detainees in Guantanamo Bay are not enemy combatants and should no longer be detained. They have not yet been released.

The Government has publicly conceded that many of the Uighers were wrongly found to be enemy combatants. The question is how many more of the detainees were wrongly found to be enemy combatants. The evidence that satisfied the Government that the Uighers were enemy combatants parallels the evidence against the other detainees—but the evidence against the Uighers is actually sometimes stronger.

The Uigher evidence parallels the evidence against the other detainees in that they were:

1. Muslims,
2. in Afghanistan,
3. associated with unidentified individuals and/or groups
4. possessed Kalishnikov rifles
5. stayed in guest houses
6. captured in Pakistan
7. by bounty hunters.

If such evidence is deemed insufficient to detain these persons as enemy combatants, the data analyzed by this Report would suggest that many other detainees should likewise not be classified as enemy combatants.

CONCLUSION

35 Uighurs, a Turkic ethnic minority of 8 to 12 million people primarily located in the northwestern region of China and in some parts of Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan, face political and religious oppression at the hands of the Chinese Government. The Congressional Human Rights Caucus of the United States House of Representatives has received several briefings on these issues, including the information that the People's Republic of China "continues to brutally suppress any peaceful political, religious, and cultural activities of Uighurs, and enforce a birth control policy that compels minority Uighur women to undergo forced abortions and sterilizations." (United States Commission on International Religious Freedom, World Uighur Network) In response to oppression by the Chinese Government, many Uighurs flee to surrounding countries such as Afghanistan and Pakistan. Wright, Robin. Chinese Detainees are Men Without a Country. (2005, August 24) Washington Post, p. A01.
The detainees have been afforded no meaningful opportunity to test the Government’s evidence against them. They remain incarcerated.
APPENDIX A

"Dear countrymen: The al Qaeda terrorists are our enemy. They are the enemy of your independence and freedom. Come on. Let us find their most secret hiding places. Search them out and inform the intelligence service of the province and get the big prize." (taken from AP article, http://afgha.com/?af=article&sid=12975

“The reward, about $4,285, would be paid to any citizen who aided in the capture of Taliban or al-Qaida fighters.”

Text on the back of the imitation banknote is "Dear countrymen: The al-Qaida terrorists are our enemy. They are the enemy of your independence and freedom. Come on. Let us find their most secret hiding places. Search them out and inform the intelligence service of the province and get the big prize."

http://www.psywarrior.com/Herbafghan02.html
AFD29p—leaflet code. This leaflet shows an unnamed Taliban leader (http://www.psywarrior.com/Herbafghan02.html)

REWARD FOR INFORMATION LEADING TO THE WHEREABOUTS OR CAPTURE OF TALIBAN AND AL QAEDA LEADERSHIP.

Translation: http://www.psywarrior.com/afghanleaf15.html
Afghanistan Leaflets

“Get wealth and power beyond your dreams. Help the Anti-Taliban Forces rid Afghanistan of murderers and terrorists.”

“You can receive millions of dollars for helping the Anti-Taliban Force catch Al-Qaida and Taliban murderers. This is enough money to take care of your family, your village, your tribe for the rest of your life. Pay for livestock and doctors and school books and housing for all your people.”

From http://www.psywarrior.com/afghanleaf40.html
## APPENDIX B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan Support Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al Birr Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Haramain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Ighatha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Irata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Nashiri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Wa’ad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Wafa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Gama’a al-Islamiyya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algerian Armed Islamic Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algerian resistance group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Haramayn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Igatha Al-Islamiya, Int’ntl Islamic Relief Org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Islah Reform Party in Yemen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Itiihad al Islami (AIAI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariana Airlines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armed Islamic Group of Algeria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain Defense Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chechen rebels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawa wa Irshad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Turkish Islamic Movement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egyptian Islamic Jihad (EIJ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremist organization linked to Al Qaeda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiyadan Islam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamas (Islamic Resistance Front)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harakat-e-Mulavi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hizballah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Islamic Relief Organization (IIRO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraqi National Congress (INC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Group Nahzat-Islami</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Movement of Tajikistan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Movement of Uzbekistan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Salvation Front</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itihad Islami</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JABRI, Wai Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaish-e-mohammad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jama'at al Tablighi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaat ud Dawa il al Quran al Sunnat (JDQ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamat al Taligh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamiat Al Islamiya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jemaah Ilamiah Mquatilah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jihadist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karim Explosive Cell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic Name</td>
<td>English Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwaiti Joint Relief Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lajanat Dawa Islamiya (LDI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lash ar-e-tayyiba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lashkar-e-Tayyiba (LT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maktab al Khidman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mujahadin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mujahedeen Brigade in Bosnia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulahadin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslims in Sink'Iang Province of China</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahzat-Islami</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacha Khan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revival of Islamic Heritage Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salafist group for call and combat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sami Essid Network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanabal Charitable Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharqawi Abdu Ali al-Hajj</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small mudafah in Kandahar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takfir Seven</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takvir Ve Hijra (TVH)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talibari</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarik Nafaz Shariati Muhammedi Molakan Danija</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisian Combat Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisian terrorists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkish radical religious groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uighers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Assembly of Muslim Youth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yemeni mujahid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C

"Captured by Whom" Notes

“other” includes “Bosnian Authorities”, “Foreign Government”, “Gambia”, “Iranian Authorities”, “Local Pashtun tribe”, “natural elders of Andokhoy City” and “United Islamic Front for the Salvation of Afghanis”

“Pakistani Authorities” includes “Pakistani Greentown”

"Where Captured" Notes

“Afghanistan” includes “Mazar-e Sharif” and “Tora Bora”

“other” includes “Bosnia”, “fleeing from Shkin firebase”, “Gambia”, “home of al Qaeda financier”, “home of suspected HIG commander”, “Iran”, “Kashmir”, “Libyan guesthouse”, “Samoud's compound”, “UK, Gambia” and “while being treated for leg wound”

"Affiliation" Notes

al Qaeda includes “al Qaeda or its network”

al Qaeda & Taliban includes “al Qaeda member taliban associate”, “al Qaeda/Taliban”, “member of al Qaeda & associated with Taliban”, “member of Taliban and/or associated w/ al Qaeda”, “Taliban and/or al Qaeda”, “Taliban Fighter and al Qaeda Member” and “taliban member al Qaeda associate”

“other” includes “HIG” and “Uigher”

Unidentified includes “al Qaeda affiliated group”, “enemy combatant”, “forces allied with al Qaeda and Taliban”, “forces engaged in hostilities against US”, “organization associated w/ and supported al Qaeda”, “terrorist”, “terrorist organization”, “terrorist organization tied to al Qaeda”, “terrorist organization supported by al Qaeda” and “various NGOs with al Qaeda & Taliban connections”

"Nexus" Notes

“associated with” includes “affiliated”, “material support”, “supported” and “supporter”

“fighter” for includes “supported and fought for”

“member” includes “member and participated in hostile acts”, “member of or associated with”, “member or ally”, “operative”, “part of or supported” and “worked for”