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“The general number is around—just short of thirty, I think...It’s a combination of thirty we believe have either been captured or killed on the battlefield, so some of them have actually died on the battlefield.”

— Daniel J. Dell’Orto, Principal Deputy General Counsel, Department of Defense
April 26, 2007

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Defense has continually relied upon the premise of “battlefield capture” to justify the indefinite detention of so-called “enemy combatants” at Guantánamo Bay. The “battlefield capture” proposition—although proven false in almost all cases—has been an important proposition for the Government, which has used it to frame detainee status as a military question as to which the Department of Defense should be granted considerable deference. Further, just as the Government has characterized detainee’s initial captures as “on the battlefield,” Government officials have repeatedly claimed that ex-detainees have “returned to the battlefield,” where they have been re-captured or killed.

Implicit in the Government’s claim that detainees have “returned to the battlefield” is the notion that those detainees had been on a battlefield prior to their detention in Guantánamo. Revealed by the Department of Defense data, however, is that:

• only twenty-one (21)—or four percent (4%)—of 516 Combatant Status Review Tribunal unclassified summaries of the evidence alleged that a detainee had ever been on any battlefield;
• only twenty-four (24)—or five percent (5%)—of unclassified summaries alleged that a detainee had been captured by United States forces;
• and exactly one (1) of 516 unclassified summaries alleged that a detainee was captured by United States forces on a battlefield.
Just as the Government’s claims that the Guantánamo detainees “were picked up on the battlefield, fighting American forces, trying to kill American forces,” do not comport with the Department of Defense’s own data, neither do its claims that former detainees have “returned to the fight.” The Department of Defense has publicly insisted that “just short of thirty” former Guantánamo detainees have “returned” to the battlefield, where they have been re-captured or killed, but to date the Department has described at most fifteen (15) possible recidivists, and has identified only seven (7) of these individuals by name. According to the data provided by the Department of Defense:

• at least eight (8) of the fifteen (15) individuals alleged by the Government to have “returned to the fight” are accused of nothing more than speaking critically of the Government’s detention policies;
• ten (10) of the individuals have neither been re-captured nor killed by anyone;
• and of the five (5) individuals who are alleged to have been re-captured or killed, the names of two (2) do not appear on the list of individuals who have at any time been detained at Guantánamo, and the remaining three (3) include one (1) individual who was killed in an apartment complex in Russia by local authorities and one (1) who is not listed among former Guantánamo detainees but who, after his death, has been alleged to have been detained under a different name.

Thus, the data provided by the Department of Defense indicates that every public statement made by Department of Defense officials regarding the number of detainees who have been released and thereafter killed or re-captured on the battlefield was false.
I.

The Return to the Battlefield?

Implicit in the allegation that one has returned to the battlefield is that one has been on a battlefield previously. Our earlier report, The Empty Battlefield and the Thirteenth Criterion—which, like this report, relied upon the Department of Defense’s own data—revealed that no more than twenty-one (21) of 516 Combatant Status Review Tribunal (“CSRT”) unclassified summaries of the evidence alleged that a detainee had ever been on any battlefield. Thus, only four percent (4%) of Guantánamo Bay detainees for whom a CSRT had been convened were ever alleged by the United States Government to have been on a battlefield to which they might return. The report further revealed that only twenty-four (24) detainees—just five percent (5%)—were alleged to have been captured by United States forces.

A comparison of the two data sets reveals that exactly one detainee was alleged to have been captured on a battlefield by United States forces. That lone detainee is Omar Khadr (ISN 66), a Canadian citizen who was captured when he was fifteen (15) years old. In his sixth year of detention, Khadr is one of the first Guantánamo detainees to face a military tribunal.

Although the vast majority of detainees were neither captured by United States forces nor captured by anyone else on any battlefield—and eighty-six percent (86%) may have been sold to the United States for a bounty—the Department of Defense and other highest level Government officials have continuously represented the detainees as having been captured on the battlefield and having returned to the battlefield upon release. The battlefield capture proposition—

---

1 The purpose of the CSRT unclassified summary of the evidence, or the “R-1,” is to summarize the Government’s bases for detention of the individual for whom the CSRT is convened. The Government conducted 558 CSRTs, and eventually made 516 CSRT unclassified summaries public. See our first Report on Guantánamo Detainees (2006), available at http://law.shu.edu/news/guantanamo_report_final_2_08_06.pdf.
4 supra note 2.
5 “ISN” is an abbreviation for “Interment Serial Number.” Each Guantánamo detainee was assigned an ISN.
6 The R-1 of Omar Khadr, ISN 66, appears at Appendix 4.
7 Supra note 1.
8 “These are people picked up off the battlefield in Afghanistan….They were picked up on the battlefield, fighting American forces, trying to kill American forces.” President Bush, June 20, 2005. Retrieved November 4, 2007 from http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/prem/200602u/nj_taylor_2006-02-07.
“The people that are there are people we picked up on the battlefield, primarily in Afghanistan. They're terrorists. They're bomb makers. They're facilitators of terror. They're members of Al Qaeda and the Taliban....We've let go those that we've deemed not to be a continuing threat. But the 520-some that are there now are serious, deadly threats to the United States.” Vice President Cheney, June 23, 2005. Retrieved November 4, 2007 from http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/prem/200602u/nj_taylor_2006-02-07.
although false in almost all cases—has been an important proposition for the Government, which has used it to justify the casting of detainee status as a military question as to which the Department of Defense should be granted great deference.

Similarly to “battlefield capture” claims, “return to the battlefield” claims have abounded in public statements made by senior Government officials—and are almost entirely refuted by the data provided by the Department of Defense.

II.

The Department of Defense’s Own Data Indicates that Instances of “Recidivism” Are Far Fewer Than Government Officials Have Publicly Claimed.

The Department of Defense has repeatedly claimed that some thirty (30) former Guantánamo detainees have been released only to return to the battlefield, where they have been either re-captured or killed.\(^9\) In July 2007, the Department of Defense issued a news release in which it attempted to identify these alleged “recidivists”;\(^10\) its attempt falls considerably short. Instead of identifying the thirty (30) individuals it alleges are recidivists, the Department describes at most fifteen (15) possible recidivists, and identifies only seven (7) of these individuals by name. Further, two of the individuals included have not been “re-captured or killed,” as the Government claimed, but, apparently, are believed to be engaged in some kind of unspecified military operations.

More importantly, the majority of the individuals identified by the Department of Defense as recidivists appear to be miscategorized. Eight (8) of them are accused of nothing more than speaking critically of the Government’s detention policies, and ten (10) have neither been re-captured nor killed. Of the five (5) who are alleged to have been re-captured or killed, two (2) are not listed as ever having been detained at Guantánamo, and the other three (3) include one (1) who was killed in an apartment complex in Russia by local authorities and one (1) who is not listed among former Guantánamo detainees but who, since his death, has been alleged to have been detained under a different name.

There appears to be a single individual who is alleged to have both been detained in Guantánamo and later killed or captured on some battlefield.

\(^9\) See Appendix I for complete list of quotes. It is, possible, of course, that some former detainees have engaged in military actions against coalition forces but have neither been re-captured nor killed. The Department of Defense release, however, does not make any claim with respect to any such individuals.

A. The Department of Defense’s Definition of “Anti-Coalition Activity” is Over-Inclusive.

The July 2007 news release contains a preamble followed by brief descriptions of the Government’s bases for asserting that each of seven identified “recidivists” has “returned to the fight.”

The preamble, in relevant part, reads as follows:

Former Guantánamo Detainees who have returned to the fight:

Our reports indicate that at least 30 former GTMO detainees have taken part in anti-coalition militant activities after leaving U.S. detention. Some have subsequently been killed in combat in Afghanistan.

…Although the US Government does not generally track ex-GTMO detainees after repatriation or resettlement, we are aware of dozens of cases where they have returned to militant activities, participated in anti-US propaganda or other activities through intelligence gathering and media reports. (Examples: Mehsud suicide bombing in Pakistan; Tipton Three and the Road to Guantánamo; Uighurs in Albania).

The following seven former detainees are a few examples of the 30; each returned to combat against the US and its allies after being released from Guantánamo.

With this preamble, interestingly, the Department of Defense abandons its oft-repeated allegation that at least thirty (30) former detainees have “returned to the battlefield” in favor of the far less sensational allegation that “at least 30 former GTMO detainees have taken part in anti-coalition militant activities after leaving U.S. detention.”

“Returned to the battlefield” is unambiguous, and describes—clearly and without qualification—an act of aggression or war against the United States, or at least against its interests. In contrast, it is not clear on its face whether the use of the phrase “anti-coalition militant activities” is intended to embrace only overt, military, hostile action taken by the former detainee, or rather to extend to include activities that are political in nature. Further review of the preamble and the news release as a whole reveals that it is this latter meaning that prevails—and thus the shift from “return to the battlefield,” to “return to militant activities” reflects a wholesale retreat from the claim that thirty (30) ex-detainees have taken up arms against the United States or its coalition partners.

---

1 Emphasis added.
The Department of Defense’s retreat from “return to the battlefield” is signaled, in particular, by the Department’s assertion that it is “aware of dozens of cases where they have returned to militant activities, participated in anti-US propaganda or other activities[.]”\(^\text{12}\)

Although the “anti-US propaganda” to which the news release refers is not militant by even the most extended meaning of the term, the Department of Defense apparently designates it as such, and is consequently able to sweep distinctly non-combatant activity under its new definition of “militant activities.”

As a result, the Uighurs in Albania and “The Tipton Three,”—who, upon release from Guantánamo, have publicly criticized the way they were treated at the hands of the United States—are deemed to have participated in “anti-coalition militant activities” despite having neither “returned to a battlefield” nor committed any hostile acts whatsoever. “The Tipton Three” have been living in their native England since their release. The Uighurs remained in an Albanian refugee camp until relatively recently; they now have been resettled in apartments in Tirana—except for one, who lives with his sister in Sweden and has applied for permanent refugee status. Despite having been neither re-captured nor killed, these eight (8) individuals are swept under the banner of former Guantánamo detainees who have “returned to the fight.”

Even as the Department of Defense attempts to qualify its public statements that thirty former Guantánamo detainees have “returned to the fight,” and to widen its lens far beyond the battlefield, it still reaches at most fifteen (15) individuals—only half its stated total of Guantánamo recidivists.

B. The Department of Defense (1) Identifies “Recidivists” Who Have Never Been Identified as Guantánamo Detainees, and (2) Admits That It Does Not Keep Track of Former Detainees.

On April 19, 2006, the Government published the names of the 558 detainees for whom CSRT proceedings had been convened at Guantánamo.\(^\text{13}\) On May 15, 2006, the Government published a second list of 759 names representing every individual ever detained at Guantánamo.\(^\text{14}\) Additionally, the Government has released transcripts and other documents related to Administrative Review Board hearings, which also contain detainee names.\(^\text{15}\)

Contained in these three sets of records are more than 900 different names. The full CSRT returns, among other Government documents, increase the number of different names to more than 1000. This abundance of names does not discredit the Government’s assertion that only 759 detainees have passed through Guantánamo “between January 2002 and May 15, 2006”\(^\text{16}\)—but it does demonstrate the difficulty the Government has had in identifying the detainees by name.

---

\(^{12}\) Emphasis added.

\(^{13}\) Available at: http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/foi/detainees/detainee_list.pdf.


\(^{15}\) Procedures provide that, for each prisoner determined to be an “Enemy Combatant,” a yearly Administration Review Board (ARB) must be convened.

\(^{16}\) This is the language used to describe the list of 759 detainee produced by the Government on May 15, 2006.
The Government’s identification problems have created difficulties for the detainees, as well. One detainee, Mohammed Al Harbi—who remains at Guantánamo Bay—objected to the allegation that his name was found “on a document.” The detainee stated:

There are several tribes in Saudi Arabia and one of these tribes is Al Harbi. This is part of my names [sic] and there are literally millions that share Al Harbi as part of their name. Further, my first names Mohammad and Atiq are names that are favored in that region. Just knowing someone has the name Al Harbi tells you where they came from in Saudi Arabia. Where I live, it is not uncommon to be in a group of 8-10 people and 1 or 2 of them will be named Mohammed Al Harbi. If fact, I know of 2 Mohammed Al Harbis here in Guantánamo Bay and one of them is in Camp 4. The fact that this name is recovered on a document is literally meaningless.17

The detainee’s concern illustrates one of the difficulties in deciphering the Department of Defense’s July 2007 news release. The release identifies seven (7) individuals by name, but does not identify a single detainee by his Internment Serial Number (“ISN”), despite that doing so would have simplified the identification process, as well as made the Government’s representations more readily verifiable.18

Compounding the confusion surrounding the identification process is the Government’s curious admission that it does “not generally track ex-GTMO detainees after repatriation or resettlement[.]” It is unclear how the Government is able to identify Guantánamo recidivists if it does not keep itself apprised of ex-detainee whereabouts. Furthermore, it seems counterintuitive that the Government would elect not to keep track of former detainees, given its continuing insistence that more than thirty former detainees have “returned to the fight.”

In any event, none of the available information regarding the detainees supports the claim of the news release that any of three individuals identified by the Department of Defense as having “returned to the fight”—Abdul Rahman Noor, Abdullah Mehsud and Maulavi Abdul Ghaffar—have ever been identified as having been detained at Guantánamo.

17 Mohammad Atiq Al Harbi, ISN 333, goes on to state that there are documents available to the United States that will prove that his classification as an enemy combatant is wrong. He also objects to anonymous secret evidence: “It is important you find the notes on my visa and passport because they show I was there for 8 days and could not have been expected to go to Afghanistan and engage in hostilities against anyone. . . . I understand you cannot tell me who said this, but I ask that you look at this individual very closely because his story is false. If you ask this person the right question, you will see that very quickly. I am trusting you to do this for me.”
18 Identifying former detainee by ISN is significantly more helpful than by name. The Department of Defense has a demonstrated inability to clearly identify prisoners by name. A potential criticism regarding the Government’s “return to the battlefield” statements is that, if a former detainee had in fact been recaptured or killed on the battlefield, then the Government should be able to specifically identify that former detainee by his ISN.
C. The Department of Defense Identifies Fifteen (15) Alleged Recidivists; Each of These Identifications is Problematic.

“Return to the Fight” vs. “Return to the Battlefield”

Recent statements by Department of Defense officials have attempted to reframe prior statements, including the statement made by Daniel J. Dell’Orto, Deputy Counsel of the Department of Defense, before the Senate Arms Committee in April 2007.19 While Mr. Dell’Orto had claimed that thirty former detainees had been captured or killed “on the battlefield,” two Defense Department statements—both made on May 9, 2007—attempted to reframe the language of this prior statement, and provided instead that the same number of ex-detainees had “returned to the fight.”20 As the substance of the July 2007 news release reveals, this term is distinguishable from “captured or killed on the battlefield,” but these two terms, among others, are significantly conflated by the Department of Defense in its public statements. Neither Tipton, England, nor an Albanian refugee camp fall within the typical definition of battlefield—but both must fall within the definition upon which the Department of Defense relies, for the Department to arrive at its claim that thirty (30) former detainees have returned to the battlefield.

The phrase “returned to the fight” implies a taking up of arms, or some other act of overt aggression, but the Department of Defense concludes in its July 2007 news release that fifteen (15) detainees have “returned to the fight”—but fails to justify its conclusion with any indication that a majority of these fifteen (15) have participated in any “fight” besides appearing in a film or writing an opinion piece for the New York Times.

The “Tipton Three”

The “Tipton Three”—Shafiq Rasul, Asif Iqbal and Ruhel Ahmed—are three childhood friends from England who became the first English-speaking detainees released from Guantánamo after they had been imprisoned without charges for more than two years.21 Since their release in 2004, the young men have been living freely in their native Britain, and have not been charged with any crime. They have, however, been vocal regarding what they perceive to be the injustices suffered by them during their detention.

In 2006, the “Tipton Three” recounted their Guantánamo experiences for Michael Winterbottom’s commercial film, The Road to Guantánamo, which has been shown at major film festivals including Berlin and Tribeca.22 The film features interviews with the men, as well as dramatic re-enactments of them being bound in “stress” positions for hours and forced to listen to painfully loud music.23

19 See Appendix I for timeline of quotes.
20 Id.
23 Supra note 21.
The men’s contributions to the film are not “militant” in nature, and cannot constitute a return to the battlefield. The “Tipton Three” have participated neither in “battle” or “fighting” of any kind; nor do they fall in the category of having been “re-captured” or “killed.” For the Department of Defense, however, the men’s participation in *The Road to Guantánamo*—in the absence of any other allegations—is apparently enough to justify their inclusion among the “at least 30 former GTMO detainees [who] have taken part in anti-coalition militant activities after leaving U.S. detention.”

The Uighurs

Five Uighurs—ethnic Chinese who practice Islam—were extradited in May 2006 from Guantánamo Bay to Albania, where they were taken in as refugees. Following three years of incarceration at Guantánamo, the five men were released to the same refugee camp in Tirana, Albania. A May 5, 2006 certification by Samuel M. Whitten, a representative of the Department of State, certified that these men had been transferred “to Albania for resettlement there as refugees.” Mr. Whitten noted that “[a]s applicants for refugee status, [the men] are free to travel around Albania, and once refugee status has been granted will be free to apply for travel documents permitting overseas travel.” According to the camp director, Hidajet Cera, “They are the best guys in the place. They have never given us one minute’s problem.”

Since that time, four have since been resettled in apartments in Tirana, and one has joined his sister in Sweden, where he has applied for permanent refugee status.

The Department of Defense has never recanted its assertion that the Uighurs had been improperly classified as “enemy combatants,” but it has not accused the Uighurs of any wrongdoing since their release. They have been neither “re-captured” nor “killed.”

Most likely, the Department of Defense categorizes as “anti-coalition militant activity” an opinion piece, written by one of the Uighur men and published in the New York Times, which urged American lawmakers to protect habeas corpus. This would at least be consistent with the Department of Defense’s apparent inclusion of speech—if critical of the United States Government—as “anti-coalition militant activity.”

---

24 *Supra* note 10.
25 *Id.*
26 Emergency Motion to Dismiss as Moot, Abu Bakkar Qassim et. al. v. George W. Bush, et. al., Filed May 5, 2006 in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.
Mullah Shazada

According to the Department of Defense, Mullah Shazada “was killed on May 7, 2004 while fighting against U.S. forces.”\(^29\) The name Mullah Shazada does not appear on the official list of Guantánamo detainees;\(^30\) however, after Mullah Shazada’s death, the Government announced that he had been previously detained in Guantánamo under the name “Mohamed Yusif Yaqub.”\(^31\) There is a “Mohammed Yusif Yaqub” listed as being detained in Guantánamo, but he was released before Combatant Status Review Tribunals were convened. Thus, his name appears only on the government’s list of 759 detainees that were detained in Guantánamo.\(^32\) That list indicates an individual named “Mohammed Yusif Yaqub,” but the detainee is one of seven (7) Afghan detainees for whom a date of birth is “unknown.”\(^33\) The authors of this report extend the benefit of the doubt to the Government, however, and assume that these two names refer to one individual who was in fact previously detained in Guantánamo.

Abdullah Mehsud

Abdullah Mehsud committed suicide during a raid by Pakistani authorities in what the Department of Defense characterizes as a “suicide bombing.”\(^34\) (No one but Mehsud was harmed in this episode.)\(^35\) The name “Abdullah Mehsud” does not appear in the official list of detainees\(^36\); neither does the name “Noor Alam”—another name that has been associated with Abdullah Mehsud\(^37\)—appear on the list. According to the Government, Abdullah Mehsud was released from Guantánamo in March 2004, before Combatant Status Review Tribunals were convened.

Maulavi Abdul Ghaffar

Maulavi Abdul Ghaffar was reportedly “captured in early 2002 and held at GTMO for eight months.”\(^38\) He was “killed in a raid by Afghan security forces” in September 2004.\(^39\) The name “Maulavi Abdul Ghaffar” does not appear on the list of detainees. Two detainees with

\(^{29}\) Supra note 10.

\(^{30}\) Supra note 14.

\(^{31}\) Supra note 10.

\(^{32}\) Supra note 14.

\(^{33}\) Id.

\(^{34}\) Supra note 10.


\(^{36}\) Although not a very close match to “Abdullah Mehsud,” the government does list one “Sharaf Ahmad Muhammad Masud” (ISN 170) as a detainee in Guantánamo. This detainee, however, cannot be the individual to which the government refers, as he had both a Combatant Status Review Tribunal and Administrative Review Board hearings. These hearings occurred significantly after the March 2004 release claimed by the Department of Defense.


\(^{38}\) Supra note 10.

\(^{39}\) Supra note 10. Both “Abdul Ghafoor,” ISN 954, and “Abdul Ghafaar,” ISN 1032, had Combatant Status Review Tribunal and Administrative Review Board hearings. These hearings occurred significantly after the September 2004 death claimed by the Department of Defense.
similar names were still imprisoned when Ghaffar was allegedly killed.\footnote{Supra note 14.}
One other detainee with a similar name was still in Guantánamo until at least March 1, 2004—more than a year after the government alleges Maulavi Abdul Ghaffar was released.\footnote{“Abdullah Ghofoor,” ISN 351, was listed as being in Guantánamo as of March 1, 2004 in documents released by the Department of Defense.}

Mohammed Ismail

The Department of Defense accuses this individual of “participating” in an attack against United States forces “near Kandahar,” and alleges that at the time of his re-capture, he was carrying “a letter confirming his status as a Taliban member in good standing.”\footnote{Supra note 10.}

The name “Mohammed Ismail” does appear on the official list of Guantánamo detainees. However, there is a discrepancy as to the date of birth. News sources consistently pinpoint Mohammed Ismail’s age at approximately thirteen (13) at the time of his initial capture, and fifteen (15) at the time of release in 2004.\footnote{See, for example, http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2004/02/08/wguan08.xml.}
However, the Department of Defense lists Mohammed Ismail’s year of birth as 1984, which would make him several years older.\footnote{Supra note 14.} Despite this discrepancy,\footnote{The discrepancy is also noted at by the anti-death penalty organization, Reprieve. Retrieved December 3, 2007 at http://ejp.icij.org/IMG/AppendixK.pdf.} the authors of this report extend the benefit of the doubt to the Government, and assume that this individual was in fact formerly detained at Guantánamo.

Abdul Rahman Noor

The name “Abdul Rahman Noor” does not appear in either of the official lists of prisoners that the Department of Defense was ordered to release in 2006.\footnote{Supra note 14.} However, a similar name, “Abdul Rahman Noorani,” does appear. It is possible that these two names refer to the same individual, but (a) “Abdul” and “Rahman” are very commonplace names in the region, and (b) the Department of Defense does not indicate that these two names refer to the same person, whereas it did so indicate with respect to another alleged recidivist with an alias, “Mullah Shazada.” It would seem that the Department of Defense would have indicated whether the alleged recidivist was listed under a different name; in this case it did not. Thus, one cannot conclude that “Abdul Rahman Noor” was ever officially detained in Guantánamo. According to the Government, this individual was released in July 2003, before Combatant Status Review Tribunals were convened. The Department of Defense claims to have identified Abdul Rahman Noor “fighting against U.S. forces near Kandahar,” but he apparently has neither been captured nor killed.\footnote{Supra note 10.}
Mohammed Nayim Farouq

According to the Department of Defense, Mohammed Nayim Farouq—who was released from Guantánamo in July 2003, before Combatant Status Review Tribunals were convened—“has since become re-involved in anti-Coalition militant activity,” but has neither been re-captured nor killed.48

Ruslan Odizhev

Ruslan Odizhev, a Russian, reportedly was killed in an apartment complex by Russia’s Federal Security Service in June 2007.49 The Service did not specify why it was trying to detain him.50 The name “Ruslan Odizhev” does not appear in the official lists of prisoners the Department of Defense was ordered to release in 2006, but “Ruslan Anatolivich Odijev”—a name which is phonetically similar to “Ruslan Odizhev”—does appear on the Department of Defense’s list. The authors of this report extend the benefit of the doubt to the Government, and assume that these two names refer to one individual. It should be noted, however, that the June 2007 death of ”Ruslan Odizhev” post-dated Department of Defense statements that thirty (30) former Guantánamo detainees had returned to the battlefield, where they were re-captured or killed.

Summary of Problems with the Individual Identifications

Extending to the Government the benefit of the doubt as to ambiguous cases, the list of possible Guantánamo recidivists who could have been captured or killed on the battlefield consists of two individuals: Mohammed Ismail and Mullah Shazada. If an apartment complex in Russia falls within the definition of “battlefield,” then as of June 2007—after the Department of Defense had already cited thirty (30) as the total number of recidivists—an additional individual, Ruslan Odizhev, can be added to the list. Thus, at most—of the approximately 445 detainees who have been released from Guantánamo51—three (3) detainees, or less than one percent (1%), have subsequently returned to the battlefield to be captured or killed. Two (2) other detainees (Abdul Rahman Noor and Mohammed Nayim Farouq), while not re-captured or killed, are claimed to be engaged in military activities, although the information provided by the Government in this regard cannot be cross-checked.

48 Id.
50 Id.
D. Statements Made Publicly by the Department of Defense and Other Government Officials Do Not Reflect the Department of Defense’s Own Data.

The Department of Defense has made at least twelve (12) different statements as to the number of released Guantánamo detainees who have returned to the battlefield to be captured or killed. The range of numbers proffered by the Defense Department is similar to the range of numbers given by other Government departments.

The Department of Defense’s statements about the number of recidivists who returned to militant activities and were killed or captured on the battlefield consistently ranges from between ten (10) and twelve (12) from November 2004 to March of 2007. (See graph below.) In March 2007, a total of twelve (12) recidivists were “confirmed” by the Department of Defense, but it was suggested by the Government that “another dozen have returned to the fight.” By April, the number cited by the Department of Defense was thirty (30). No explanation has been offered for this precipitous increase in the cited numbers.

The line graph below represents each instance that a Department of Defense official stated a specific number (or range of numbers) of Guantánamo recidivists, as well as the date when the statement was made. A second line on the graph represents the number of ex-detainees claimed to have been killed or captured on the battlefield by the July 12, 2007 Department of Defense news release.
The July 2007 news release issued by the Department of Defense contradicted all of the claims that had been made by Government officials—including Department of Defense officials—that any more than three (3) former detainees could have been killed or captured on a battlefield after being released from Guantánamo. The Department of Defense, in its release, identifies seven (7) individuals by name, but: as many as three (3) of those seven (7) named were never in Guantánamo according to the Department of Defense’s official list of detainees; two (2) of the remaining four (4) have neither been killed captured; and of the three (3) who remain, one (1) was killed in his apartment complex in Russia by local authorities—after Daniel J. Dell’Orto, the Deputy General Counsel of Department of Defense, testified before Congress in April 2007.

The July 2007 news release indicates that every single statement made publicly by the Department of Defense as to the number of Guantánamo recidivists was erroneously inflated—including the Deputy General Counsel’s claim to the Senate Armed Services Committee on April 26, 2007 that: “[I]t’s a combination of 30 we believe have either been captured or killed on the battlefield, so some of them have actually died on the battlefield.” Mr. Dell’Orto did not identify the thirty (30) “returnees” by name or ISN, but the Department of Defense’s subsequent news release makes clear that that his representation was incorrect.

The July 2007 news release claimed that five (5) former detainees were captured or killed on the battlefield: two (2) in May 2004; one (1) in September 2004; one (1) in October 2004; and one (1) in June 2007 (although not all of the named individuals appear of the Government’s official list of former detainees). Thus, any time prior to June 2007 that a Department of Defense spokesperson or any other Government official represented that more than four (4) former detainees had been killed or captured on a battlefield, that representation was false. Any public representations made after June 2007, asserting that more than five (5) former detainees had been killed or captured on a battlefield, were likewise false.

Such incorrect representations include not only statements made by Mr. Dell’Orto to the Senate Armed Services Committee, but also statements made by former Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, who stated on January 10, 2006 that twelve (12) detainees who had been released from Guantánamo had returned to the battlefield and had been re-captured by United States forces.

Officials from all branches of the Government have made similar pronouncements, perhaps in reliance upon the Department of Defense’s public statements. For instance, on March 7, 2006 former Attorney General Alberto R. Gonzales stated that “Unfortunately, despite assurances from those released, the Department of Defense reports that at least 15 have returned to the fight and been captured or killed on the battlefield.” Members of both the House and Senate have made similarly incorrect claims—understandably, given the Department of Defense’s testimony to Senate and Congressional committees from 2004 throughout the first half of 2007.
III.

When Government Officials Describe the Number of Detainees that have Returned to the Battlefield, they Generally do so with Equivocating Terms.

More than forty (40) Government officials have characterized the number of detainees who have returned to the battlefield and thereafter been killed or captured. The cited numbers of recidivists ranges from one (1) to thirty (30), and are not always consistent with one another. More than forty (40) times, Government officials have stated that detainees have returned to the battlefield only to be killed or recaptured, but almost none of the Government officials have described the alleged recidivists.

Furthermore, the Government’s statements as to the total of recidivist ex-detainees are almost always hedged with qualifications. For instance, on June 20, 2005, Scott McClellan—then the White House Press Secretary—stated the following:

I think that our belief is that about a dozen or so detainees that have been released from Guantánamo Bay have actually returned to the battlefield, and we’ve either recaptured them or otherwise dealt with them, namely killing them on the battlefield when they were again attacking our forces.⁵²

Former Secretary McClellan’s short statement limited the number of “recidivists” by four qualifying terms. This was the predominate approach, as it turns out, for eighty-two percent

---

⁵² Emphasis added. See Appendix for complete timeline of quotes.
(82%) of the publicly made claims catalogued in Appendix I of this report contain qualifying
language, including terms such as: “at least”,53 “somewhere on the order of”,54 “approximately”,55 “around”,56 “just short of”,57 “we believe”,58 “estimated”,59 “roughly”,60 “more than”,61 “a couple”,62 and “about.”63 Seven (7) times, officials declined to identify the number of recidivist detainees, relying instead on such terms as “some,”64 “a few”65 or “several.”66

Whether Government officials have given exact numbers, numerical ranges, or vague
approximations, however, it is evident that the totals given—ranging from “one”67 to “at least thirty (30)”68—vary widely. Further, while it would be natural for the numbers to change over time, it is surprising that high level Government officials would not know the precise number of recidivists at a given time.

---

53 H.R. Comm. on Armed Services, Guantanamo Bay, Statement of Patrick F. Philbin Associate Deputy Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice, 110th Cong. (Mar. 29, 2007).
Detention Center at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, 110th Cong. (May, 9, 2007).
55 Id.
56 Sen. Comm. on Armed Services, To Receive Testimony on Legislative Issues Regarding Individuals Detained by
the Department of Defense as Unlawful Enemy Combatants, 110th Cong. 108 (Apr.26, 2007).
57 Id.
58 Sen. Comm. on Armed Services, U.S. Senator John W. Warner (R-VA) Holds a Hearing on Guantanamo Bay
Detainee Treatment, 110th Cong. (July 13, 2005).
60 Vince Crawley, Releasing Guantanamo Detainees Would Endanger World, U.S. Says: State Department legal
(May 25, 2006).
62 John D. Banusiewicz, Rice Responds to Call for Guantanamo Detention Facility's Closing,
63 U.S. Dept. of Def., Defense Department Special Briefing on Administrative Review Boards for Detainees at
64 Donna Miles, Bush: Guantanamo Detainees Receiving Humane Treatment,
65 U.S. Dept. of St., Press Gaggle with Scott McClellan and Faryar Shirzad, Aboard Air Force One En Route
66 U.S. Dept. of St., Guantanamo Detainees,
67 Donald H. Rumsfeld, then-Secretary of Defense, U.S. Dept. of Def., Defense Department Operational Briefing,
68 “Former Guantanamo Detainees Who Have Returned to the Fight” Department of Defense News Release, July 12,
CONCLUSION

The Department of Defense has failed to provide information indicating that any more than five (5) former Guantánamo detainees have been re-captured or killed. Even among these five (5), two (2) of the individuals’ names do not appear on the list of individuals who have at any time been detained at Guantánamo, and the remaining three (3) include one (1) individual who was killed in an apartment complex in Russia by local authorities and one (1) who is not listed among former Guantánamo detainees but who, after his death, has been alleged to have been detained under a different name.

Publicly cited numbers other than those listed above are highly suspect and inconsistent with the information provided by the Department of Defense.
## APPENDIX 1

GUANTÁNAMO BAY DETAINEEs ALLEGEDLY RELEASED
AND SUBSEQUENTLY RE-CAPTURED OR KILLED
IN COMBAT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES

TIME LINE OF NUMBERS CITED PUBLICLY BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE:</th>
<th>NUMBER CITED:</th>
<th>GOV. OFFICIAL:</th>
<th>QUOTE:</th>
<th>*CITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 09, 2007</td>
<td>*Approx. 30</td>
<td>Joseph A. Benkert, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Def. for Global Affairs</td>
<td>“Reporting to us has led the department to believe that somewhere on the order of 30 individuals whom we have released from Guantánamo have rejoined the fight against us”</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 09, 2007</td>
<td>*Approx. 30</td>
<td>Rear Admiral Harry B. Harris Jr. (USN), Commander, Joint Task Force Guantánamo</td>
<td>“Of those detainees transferred or released, we believe approximately 30 have returned to the fight.”</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 26, 2007</td>
<td>*Approx. 30</td>
<td>Daniel J. Dell’Orto, Principal Deputy General Counsel Dept. of Def.</td>
<td>“The General number is around – just short of 30, I think”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“It’s a combination of 30 we believe have either been captured or killed on the battlefield, so some of them have actually died on the battlefield.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 17, 2007</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Michael F. Scheuer, Former Chief, Bin Laden Unit, C.I.A.</td>
<td>“But the rub comes with the release, and that is where we are going to eventually have to come down and sit down and do some hard talking, as the Europeans said, because we have had already two dozen of these people come back from Guantánamo Bay and either be killed in action against us or recaptured.”</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 29, 2007</td>
<td>**At Least 29</td>
<td>Patrick F. Philbin, Associate Deputy Attorney, U.S. Dept. of Justice</td>
<td>“The danger that these detainees potentially pose is quite real, as has been demonstrated by the fact that to date at least 29 detainees released from Guantánamo re-engaged in terrorist activity.”</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>At Least</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Quote</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 08, 2007</td>
<td><em>12</em></td>
<td>Senator Lindsey Graham (SC)</td>
<td>“Twelve of the people released have gone back to the fight, have gone back to trying to kill Americans and civilians.”</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 06, 2007</td>
<td><strong>At Least 12-24</strong></td>
<td>Sr. Defense Official</td>
<td>“I can tell you that we have confirmed 12 individuals have returned to the fight, and we have strong evidence that about another dozen have returned to the fight.”</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 20, 2006</td>
<td><strong>At Least 12</strong></td>
<td>Alberto R. Gonzales, U.S. Atty. Gen.</td>
<td>“As you may know, there have been over a dozen occasions where a detainee was released but then returned to fight against the United States and our allies again.”</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 27, 2006</td>
<td><strong>At Least 10</strong></td>
<td>Senator Jon Kyl (AZ)</td>
<td>“According to an October 22, 2004 story in the Washington Post, at least 10 detainees released from Guantánamo have been recaptured or killed fighting U.S. or coalition forces in Afghanistan or Pakistan.”</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 06, 2006</td>
<td><strong>At Least 12</strong></td>
<td>President George W. Bush</td>
<td>“Other countries have not provided adequate assurances that their nationals will not be mistreated or they will not return to the battlefield, as more than a dozen people released from Guantánamo already have.”</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 02, 2006</td>
<td><em>Approx. 25</em></td>
<td>Senator Arlen Specter (PA)</td>
<td>“as you know, we have several hundred detainees in Guantánamo. A number estimated as high as 25 have been released and returned to the battlefield, so that's not a desirable thing to happen.”</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 19, 2006</td>
<td><strong>At Least 10</strong></td>
<td>Senator James M. Inhofe</td>
<td>“At least 10 detainees we have documented that were released in Guantánamo, after U.S. officials concluded that they posed no real threat or no significant threat, have been recaptured or killed by the U.S. fighting and coalition forces, mostly in Afghanistan.”</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Speaker/Quote</td>
<td>Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 20, 2006</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Senator Jeff Sessions (AL)</td>
<td>“They have released several hundred already, and 15 of those have been rearrested on the battlefield where they are presumably attempting to fight the United States of America and our soldiers and our allies around the world.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 20, 2006</td>
<td>*Approx. 12</td>
<td>Senator Lindsey Graham (SC)</td>
<td>“About a dozen of them have gone back to the fight, unfortunately. So there have been mistakes at Guantánamo Bay by putting people in prison that were not properly classified.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 25, 2006</td>
<td>*Approx. 10% of “hundreds”</td>
<td>John B. Bellinger III, Senior Legal Adviser to Sec. of St. Condoleezza Rice.</td>
<td>“Roughly 10 percent of the hundreds of individuals who have been released from Guantánamo ‘have returned to fighting us in Afghanistan,’ Bellinger said.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 21, 2006</td>
<td>“a couple”</td>
<td>Condoleezza Rice, U.S. Sec. of St.</td>
<td>“because the day that we are facing them again on the battlefield -- and, by the way, that has happened in a couple of cases that people were released from Guantánamo.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 28, 2006</td>
<td>*Approx. 12</td>
<td>U.S. Dept. of Def.</td>
<td>“Approximately a dozen of the more than 230 detainees who have been released or transferred since detainee operations started at Guantánamo are known to have returned to the battlefield.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 07, 2006</td>
<td>**At Least 15</td>
<td>Alberto R. Gonzales, U.S. Atty. Gen.</td>
<td>“Unfortunately, despite assurances from those released, the Department of Defense reports that at least 15 have returned to the fight and been recaptured or killed on the battlefield.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.14, 2006</td>
<td>*Approx. 15</td>
<td>U.S. Embassy in Tirana – Albania</td>
<td>“Unfortunately, of those already released from Guantánamo Bay, approximately fifteen have returned to acts of terror and been recaptured.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 10, 2006</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Donald H. Rumsfeld, Defense Secretary</td>
<td>Twelve detainees who'd been released from Guantánamo had returned to the battlefield and had been re-captured by U.S. forces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Quote</td>
<td>Source Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 21, 2005</td>
<td>*Approx. 12 Matthew Waxman, Dep. Ass. Sec. of Def. for detainee affairs</td>
<td>About a dozen individuals who were released previously, he said, returned to the battlefield “and tried to harm us again.”</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 13, 2005</td>
<td>*Approx. 12 Gen. Bantz Craddock, Commander, U.S. Southern Command</td>
<td>“We believe the number's 12 right now -- confirmed 12 either recaptured or killed on the battlefield.”</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 08, 2005</td>
<td>*Approx. 12 Rear Adm. James McGarrah</td>
<td>“About a dozen of the 234 that have been released since detainee operations started in Gitmo we know have returned to the battlefield -- about a dozen.”</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 06, 2005</td>
<td>“a few” Scott McClellan, White House Press Sec.</td>
<td>“I mean, the President talked about how these are dangerous individuals; they are at Guantánamo Bay for a reason -- they were picked up on the battlefield. And we've returned a number of those, some 200-plus, we've returned a number of those enemy combatants to their country of origin. Some of -- a few of them have actually been picked up again fighting us on the battlefield in the war on terrorism.”</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 06, 2005</td>
<td>**At Least 5 Anonymous Defense Official</td>
<td>“At least five detainees released from Guantánamo have returned to the (Afghan) battlefield,” said the defense official, who requested anonymity.”</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 27, 2005</td>
<td>12 Senator Jim Bunning, (KY)</td>
<td>“I could describe many individuals held at Guantánamo and give reasons they need to remain in our custody, but I only will mention a few more 12, to be exact. That is the number of those we know who have been released from Guantánamo and returned to fight against the coalition troops.”</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 20, 2005</td>
<td>*Approx. 12 Scott McClellan, White House Press Sec.</td>
<td>“I think that our belief is that about a dozen or so detainees that have been released from Guantánamo Bay have actually returned to the battlefield, and we've either recaptured them or otherwise dealt with them, namely killing them on the battlefield when they</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Source/Quote</td>
<td>Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 20, 2005</td>
<td>“some”</td>
<td>President George W. Bush</td>
<td>The president was quick to point out that many of the detainees being held &quot;are dangerous people&quot; who pose a threat to U.S. security. Some of those who have been released have already returned to the battlefield to fight U.S. and coalition troops, he said.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 17, 2005</td>
<td>*Approx. 10</td>
<td>Vice President Dick Cheney</td>
<td>In some cases, about 10 cases, some of them have then gone back into the battle against our guys. We've had two or three that I know of specifically by name that ended up back on the battlefield in Afghanistan where they were killed by U.S. or Afghan forces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 16, 2005</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Congressman Bill Shuster (PA)</td>
<td>In fact, about two-hundred of these detainees have been released and it's been proven that twelve have already returned to the fight.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 14, 2005</td>
<td>**At Least 10</td>
<td>Vice President Dick Cheney</td>
<td>He provided new details about what he said had been at least 10 released detainees who later turned up on battlefields to try to kill American troops.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 13, 2005</td>
<td>**At Least 12</td>
<td>Scott McClellan, White House Press Sec.</td>
<td>There have been -- and Secretary Rumsfeld talked about this recently -- at least a dozen or so individuals that were released from Guantánamo Bay, and they have since been caught and picked up on the battlefield seeking to kidnap or kill Americans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 06, 2005</td>
<td>“some”</td>
<td>Air Force Gen. Richard B. Myers</td>
<td>We've released 248 detainees, some of whom have come back to the battlefield, some of whom have killed Americans after they have been released.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 01, 2005</td>
<td>**At Least 12</td>
<td>Donald H. Rumsfeld, Defense Secretary</td>
<td>At least a dozen of the 200 already released from GITMO have already been caught back on the battlefield, involved in efforts to kidnap and kill Americans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Quote</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 20, 2004</td>
<td><strong>At Least 12</strong></td>
<td>Gordon England, Secretary of The Navy</td>
<td>“And as you are aware, there's been at least 12 of the more than 200 detainees that have been previously released or transferred from Guantánamo that have indeed returned to terrorism.”</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 03, 2004</td>
<td><strong>At Least 10</strong></td>
<td>Charles Douglas &quot;Cully&quot; Stimson, Dep. Ass. Sec. of Def. for Detainee Affairs</td>
<td>Of the roughly 200 detainees the United States has released from its Guantánamo Bay, Cuba, detention facility, intelligence claims that at least 10 returned to terrorist activity, the deputy assistant secretary of defense for detainee affairs said here Nov. 2.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 19, 2004</td>
<td>“a couple”</td>
<td>Vice President Dick Cheney</td>
<td>“And we have had a couple of instances where people that were released, that were believed not to be dangerous have, in fact, found their way back onto the battlefield in the Middle East.”</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 17, 2004</td>
<td><strong>At Least 7</strong></td>
<td>U.S. Military Officials</td>
<td>at least seven former prisoners of the United States at Guantánamo Bay, Cuba, have returned to terrorism, at times with deadly consequences.</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 25, 2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Donald H. Rumsfeld, Defense Secretary</td>
<td>“Now, have we made a mistake? Yeah. I've mentioned earlier that I do believe we made a mistake in one case and that one of the people that was released earlier may very well have gone back to being a terrorist.”</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 16, 2004</td>
<td>“several”</td>
<td>Dept. of Def.</td>
<td>“Releases are not without risk. Even though the threat assessment process is careful and thorough, the U.S. now believes that several detainees released from Guantánamo have returned to the fight against U.S. and coalition forces.”</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* “Approx.” indicates the specific language used was an approximation; the specific number cited was used contextually with qualifying language; See “QUOTE” column for actual qualifying language used within the immediate textual area of the number cited.

** “At Least” indicates that the phrase “at least” was used in connection with the number provided; the number provided is therefore a baseline, or the lowest number possible.
### APPENDIX 2

**CITATIONS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>152 Cong. Rec. S 10270 (Sept. 27, 2006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>152 Cong. Rec. S 6113 (June 20, 2006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>151 Cong. Rec. S 7398 (June 27, 2005).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>U.S. Dept. of St., Guantánamo Detainees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 3

Former Guantanamo Detainees who have returned to the fight:

Our reports indicate that at least 30 former GTMO detainees have taken part in anti-coalition militant activities after leaving U.S. detention. Some have subsequently been killed in combat in Afghanistan.

These former detainees successfully lied to US officials, sometimes for over three years. Many detainees later identified as having returned to fight against the U.S. with terrorists falsely claimed to be farmers, truck drivers, cooks, small-scale merchants, or low-level combatants.

Other common cover stories include going to Afghanistan to buy medicines, to teach the Koran, or to find a wife. Many of these stories appear so often, and are subsequently proven false that we can only conclude they are part of their terrorist training.

Although the US government does not generally track ex-GTMO detainees after repatriation or resettlement, we are aware of dozens of cases where they have returned to militant activities, participated in anti-US propaganda or other activities through intelligence gathering and media reports. (Examples: Mehsud suicide bombing in Pakistan; Tipton Three and the Road to Guantanamo; Uighurs in Albania)

The following seven former detainees are a few examples of the 30; each returned to combat against the US and its allies after being released from Guantanamo.

Mohamed Yusif Yaqub AKA Mullah Shazada:
After his release from GTMO on May 8, 2003, Shazada assumed control of Taliban operations in Southern Afghanistan. In this role, his activities reportedly included the organization and execution of a jailbreak in Kandahar, and a nearly successful capture of the border town of Spin Boldak. Shazada was killed on May 7, 2004 while fighting against US forces. At the time of his release, the US had no indication that he was a member of any terrorist organization or posed a risk to US or allied interests.

Abdullah Mehsud:
Mehsud was captured in northern Afghanistan in late 2001 and held until March of 2004. After his release he went back to the fight, becoming a militant leader within the Mehsud tribe in southern Waziristan. We have since discovered that he had been associated with the Taliban since his teen years and has been described as an al Qaida-linked facilitator. In mid-October 2004, Mehsud directed the kidnapping of two Chinese engineers in Pakistan. During rescue operations by Pakistani forces, a kidnapper shot one of the hostages. Five of the kidnappers were killed. Mehsud was not among them. In July 2007, Mehsud carried out a suicide bombing as Pakistani Police closed in on his position. Over 1,000 people are reported to have attended his funeral services.

Maulavi Abdul Ghaffar:
After being captured in early 2002 and held at GTMO for eight months, Ghaffar reportedly became the Taliban's regional commander in Uruzgan and Helmand provinces, carrying out attacks on US and Afghan forces. On September 25, 2004, while planning an attack against Afghan police, Ghaffar and two of his men were killed in a raid by Afghan security forces.
Mohammed Ismail:
Ismail was released from GTMO in 2004. During a press interview after his release, he described the Americans saying, “they gave me a good time in Cuba. They were very nice to me, giving me English lessons.” He concluded his interview saying he would have to find work once he finished visiting all his relatives. He was recaptured four months later in May 2004, participating in an attack on US forces near Kandahar. At the time of his recapture, Ismail carried a letter confirming his status as a Taliban member in good standing.

Abdul Rahman Noor:
Noor was released in July of 2003, and has since participated in fighting against US forces near Kandahar. After his release, Noor was identified as the person in an October 7, 2001, video interview with al-Jazeera TV network, wherein he is identified as the “deputy defense minister of the Taliban.” In this interview, he described the defensive position of the mujahideen and claimed they had recently downed an airplane.

Mohammed Nayim Farouq:
After his release from US custody in July 2003, Farouq quickly renewed his association with Taliban and al-Qaida members and has since become re-involved in anti-Coalition militant activity.

Ruslan Odizhev:
Killed by Russian forces June 2007, shot along with another man in Nalchik, the capital of the tiny North Caucasus republic of Kabardino-Balkaria. Odizhev, born in 1973, was included in a report earlier this year by the New York-based Human Rights Watch on the alleged abuse in Russia of seven former inmates of the Guantanamo Bay prison after Washington handed them back to Moscow in 2004.

As the facts surrounding the ex-GTMO detainees indicate, there is an implied future risk to US and allied interests with every detainee who is released or transferred.
APPENDIX 4

Unclassified

TO: Personal Representative

FROM: OIC, CSRT (31 August 04)

Subject: Summary of Evidence for Combatant Status Review Tribunal, KHADR, OMAR AHMED

1. Under the provisions of the Secretary of the Navy Memorandum, dated 29 July 2004, Implementation of Combatant Status Review Tribunal Procedures for Enemy Combatants Detained at Guantanamo Bay Naval Base Cuba, a Tribunal has been appointed to review the detainee’s designation as an enemy combatant.

2. An enemy combatant has been defined as “an individual who was part of or supporting the Taliban or al Qaida forces, or associated forces that are engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners. This includes any person who committed a belligerent act or has directly supported hostilities in aid of enemy armed forces.”

3. The United States Government has previously determined that the detainee is an enemy combatant. This determination is based on information possessed by the United States that indicates that he is a member of al Qaida and participated in military operations against U.S. forces.
   a. The detainee is an al Qaida fighter:
      1. The detainee admitted he threw a grenade which killed a U.S. soldier during the battle in which the detainee was captured.
      2. The detainee attended an al Qaida training camp in the Kabul, Afghanistan area where he received training in small arms, AK-47, Soviet made PK guns, RPGs.
      3. The detainee admitted to working as a translator for al Qaida to coordinate land mine missions. The detainee acknowledged that these land mine missions are acts of terrorism and by participating in them would make him a terrorist.
   b. The detainee participated in military operations against U.S. forces.
      1. Circa June 2002, the detainee conducted a surveillance mission where he went to an airport near Khost to collect information on U.S. convoy movements.
      2. On July 20, 2002 detainee planted 10 mines against U.S. forces in the mountain region between Khost and Ghardez. This region is a choke point where U.S. convoys would travel.

4. The detainee has the opportunity to contest his designation as an enemy combatant. The Tribunal will endeavor to arrange for the presence of any reasonably available witnesses or evidence that the detainee desires to call or introduce to prove that he is not an enemy combatant. The Tribunal President will determine the reasonable availability of evidence or witnesses.