


The Puzzle of Parity: Implementing Behavioral Health Parity





CLE EVALUATION FORM

	Ple	ase Grade f	rom 1	– 5 (5	5 bein	g the	best)
1.	Presentation Quality of Speakers Overall:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	A. Panel - A View from the States						
	Presentation Quality of Clare McGorrian, Esq.:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Presentation Quality of Laura Morris:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Presentation Quality of Michael D. Reisman, Esq.:		□5	□4	□3		□1
	Presentation Quality of Prof. Ellen Weber:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	B. Early Lessons from California's Parity Compliance	Filings and	Surv	eys			
	Presentation Quality of Elizabeth Spring, Esq.:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	C. Keynote – Perspectives on Implementing MHPAEA	A					
	Presentation Quality of Keynote Speaker, Prof. Richard	G. Frank:	□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	D. Panel – Analyzing Parity Case Studies						
	Presentation Quality of Garry Carneal, Esq.:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Presentation Quality of Adam R. Easterday, Esq.:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Presentation Quality of Bradley E. Lerner, Esq.:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Presentation Quality of I. Sam Muszynski, Esq.:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
2.	Quality of Resource Materials:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
3.	Overall, the panels, presentation, and keynote were:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Panel – "A View from the States" was:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Presentation "Early Lessons from California's Parity"	was:	□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	The Keynote Address was:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1
	Panel – "Analyzing Parity Case Studies" was:		□5	□4	□3	□2	□1

General Comments on the Program

We welcome your comments and suggestions on how to enhance this program. Please feel free to
include comments on the content, case studies, speakers, and timing of the program as well as the
quality of the food and facility.

THANK YOU for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.