

Subjects Taught: Evidence, Advanced Evidence, Evidence in Theory and Practice, Civil Procedure, Torts, Conflict of Laws, Law & Public Policy, Equity, Remedies

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE

Kent Ridge, Singapore 0511

Visiting Senior Fellow, Law Faculty, 1985-1986

Subjects Taught: Evidence, Introduction to Advocacy, Trial Practice

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Philadelphia, PA. Fall Semester, 2008

Subjects Taught: Evidence

Supplementary
Teaching:

Lecturer, New Jersey Judicial College, 1977

Lecturer, New Jersey Institute of Continuing Legal Education, 1976-1978

Trial Advocacy Skills Instructor, National Legal Services Corp., 1979

Lecturer, Practicing Law Institute (New York), 1987

Lecturer, National Judicial College, Summer 1988

Lecturer, ALI-ABA, 2002

Lecturer, PLI, 2003

Lecturer, Pennsylvania Judicial Conference, 2003

Lecturer, Navy JAG school, Newport, RI, 2012

Editorial: Expert Evidence Editor, *International Commentary on Evidence* (2008-2015)

Other: Inaugural Dean's Research Fellow, 2002

John Henry Wigmore Award for Lifetime Achievement in Elucidating the Law of Evidence and the Process of Proof, AALS Evidence Section, January, 2017.

MEMBERSHIPS & POSITIONS

Bar: Pennsylvania, 1973 (State and Federal) (inactive)
New Jersey, 1978 (State and Federal)
U.S. Court of International Trade, 1980
New York, 1983

Other Chair, Association of American Law Schools Section on Civil Procedure, 1977

Chair, Association of American Law Schools Section on Evidence, 2007
New Jersey Supreme Court Committee on Evidence
Advisor, 1981-1984; Member 1984-2008
Life Member, American Law Institute (elected 1978).
National Commission on Forensic Science Subcommittee on Human Factors, (2014-
to its demise, April, 2016)..
National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Organization of Scientific
Area Committees Human Factors Committee, 2015-2022 (associate member, 2015,
full member, 2016-fall 2019, associate member, fall 2019 to present).
Advisory Group on Forensic Science, National Registry of Exonerations, 2020

PUBLICATIONS

Book: **Trial Evidence**, a continuing legal education casebook (I.C.L.E., Newark, New Jersey, 1978), 1052 pp. (with Denbeaux)

Articles: **Presumptions, Assumptions & Due Process in Criminal Cases: A Theoretical Overview**, 79 YALE L.J. 165 (1969) (with Ashford)

Honesty in Pleading and Its Enforcement: Some “Striking” Problems with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure II, 61 MINN. L. REV.1 (1976)

Questioning Questions: Problems of Form in the Interrogation of Witnesses, 33 ARKANSAS L. REV. 439 (1980) (with Denbeaux)

Substance & Procedure Revisited (with Some Afterthoughts on the Constitutional Problems of Irrebuttable Presumptions), 30 U.C.L.A. L. REV. 189 (1983)

Direct Damages: The Lost Key to Constitutional Just Compensation When Business Premises Are Condemned, 15 SETON HALL L. REV. 483 (1985)

Another Step in the Counter-Revolution: A Summary Judgment on the Supreme Court New Approach to Summary Judgment, 54 BROOKLYN L. REV. 35 (1988)

Exorcism of Ignorance as a Proxy for Rational Knowledge: The Lessons of Handwriting Identification “Expertise,” 137 U. PA. L. REV. 731 (1989) (with Denbeaux and Saks)

Science and Nonscience in the Courtroom: *Daubert* Meets Handwriting Identification Expertise, 82 IOWA L. REV. 21 (1997) (with Saks)

John Henry Wigmore, Johnny Lynn Old Chief, and “Legitimate Moral Force”: Keeping the Courtroom Safe for Heartstrings and Gore, 49 HASTINGS L.J. 403 (1998)

Brave New “Post-*Daubert* World”—A Reply to Professor Moenssens, 29 SETON HALL L. REV. 405 (1998)

Defining the “Task at Hand”: Non-Science Forensic Science after *Kumho Tire v. Carmichael*, 37 WASHINGTON & LEE L. REV. 767 (2000)

Navigating Expert Reliability: Are Criminal Standards of Certainty Being Left on the Dock, 64 ALBANY L. REV. 99 (2000)

Preliminary Thoughts on a Functional Taxonomy of Expertise for the Post-*Kumho* World, 31 SETON HALL L. REV. 508 (2000)

The *Daubert/Kumho* Implications of Observer Effects in Forensic Science: Hidden Problems of Expectation and Suggestion, 90 CAL. L. REV. 1 (2002) (with Saks, Thompson, and Rosenthal)

Three Card Monte, Monty Hall, Modus Operandi and “Offender Profiling”: Some Lessons from Modern Cognitive Science for the Law of Evidence, 24 CARDOZO L. REV. 193 (2002) (with Loop)

Context Effects in Forensic Science: A Review and Application of the Science of Science to Crime Laboratory Practice in the United States, 43 SCIENCE AND JUSTICE (the Journal of the British Forensic Science Society) 77 (2003) (with Saks, Rosenthal and Thompson)

***Kumho Tire* and Expert Reliability: How the Question You Ask Gives the Answer You Get,** 34 SETON HALL L. REV. 15 (2003) (with Denbeaux)

A House With No Foundation: Litigation-Directed Research in the Criminal Justice System, 20 ISSUES IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (the journal of the National Academy of Sciences) 35 (Fall 2003) (with Saks)

Rationality, Research and Leviathan: Law Enforcement-Sponsored Research and the Criminal Process, 2003 MICH. ST. L. REV. 1023 (with Saks)

Baserates, the Presumption of Guilt, Admissibility Rulings, and Erroneous Convictions, 2003 MICH. ST. L. REV. 1051 (with Saks)

Unsafe Verdicts: The Need for Reformed Standards for the Trial and Review of Factual Innocence Claims, 41 HOUSTON L. REV. 1281 (2004)

Boxes in Boxes: Julian Barnes, Conan Doyle, Sherlock Holmes and the Edalji

Case, 4 INTERNATIONAL COMMENTARY ON EVIDENCE #2 (2007)

Innocents Convicted: An Empirically Justified Factual Wrongful Conviction Rate, 97 J. CRIM. L. & CRIMINOLOGY 761 (2007)

The Irrelevance, and Central Relevance, of the Boundary between Science and Non-Science in the Evaluation of Expert Witness Reliability, 52 VILLANOVA L. REV. 679 (2007)

Introduction--Guilt v. Guiltiness: Are the Right Rules for Trying Factual Innocence Inevitably the Wrong Rules for Trying Culpability? 38 SETON HALL L. REV. 885 (2008)

Goodbye To All That, Or, A Fool's Errand, By One of the Fools: How I Stopped Worrying About Court Responses to Handwriting Identification (and "Forensic Science" in General) and Learned to Love Misinterpretations of *Kumho Tire v. Carmichael*, 43 TULSA L. REV. 447 (2008)

Appendix: Cases Involving the Reliability of Handwriting Expertise Since the Decision in *Daubert*, 43 TULSA L. REV. 477 (2008)

Sequential Unmasking: A Means of Minimizing Observer Effects in Forensic DNA Interpretation, 2008 Journal of Forensic Sciences 1006 (with Krane, Ford, Gilder, Inman, Jamieson, Koppl, Kornfield, Rudin, Taylor, and Thompson).

Introduction: The Inaugural Wigmore Awards for Lifetime Achievement In the Law of Evidence and Proof, 38 SETON HALL L. REV. 859 (2008).

The NAS Report on Forensic Science: A Glass Nine-Tenths Full (This Is About the Other Tenth), 50 Jurimetrics 21 (2009).

Authors' Response to Ostrum re Sequential Unmasking, 54 Journal of Forensic Sciences 1500 (2009) (with Krane, et al.).

Time for DNA Disclosure, 326 Science 1631 (Dec. 18, 2009) (with Krane et al.).

Inquiry, Relevance, Rules of Exclusion, and Evidentiary Reform, 75 Brooklyn L. Rev. 1349 (2010).

Tragic Consequences of Deadly Dilemmas: A Response to Allen and Laudan, 40 Seton Hall L. Rev. 983 (2010).

The NAS/NRC Report on Forensic Science: A Path Forward Fraught with Pitfalls, 2010 Utah L. Rev. 225 (2010).

Commentary on Budowle et al. re Sequential Unmasking, 55 *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 265 (2010) (with Krane, et al.).

Bayes Wars Redivivus (with Allen et al.), 8 *International Commentary on Evidence*, issue 1, article 1 (2010).

Whose Fault?—Daubert, The NAS Report and the Notion of Error in Forensic Science, 38 *Fordham J. Urb. L. J.* 519 (2010).

The Need for a Research Culture in the Forensic Sciences, 58 *U.C.L.A. L. Rev.* 725 (2010) (with Mnookin et al.)

Commentary on Thornton re Sequential Unmasking, 55 *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 1663 (2010) (with Thompson et al.).

Innocence is Different: Taking Innocence into Account in Reforming Criminal Procedure 56 *N.Y. Law School L. Rev.* 869 (2012) (with Lesley C. Risinger).

Comment on Neumann et al., *Quantifying the weight of evidence from a forensic fingerprint comparison: a new paradigm*, 175 *J. Royal Stat. Soc.*, (Series A) 398 (2012).

Introduction to Christophe Champod & Joelle Vuille, *Scientific Evidence in Europe: Admissibility, Evaluation and Equality of Arms*, 9 *Int. Comm. on Ev.* 1554 (2012).

Against Symbolization, 11 *Law, Probability and Risk* 247 (2012).

Reservations About Likelihood Ratios (and Some Aspects of Forensic “Bayesianism”), 12 *Law, Probability and Risk* 63 (2013).

Searching for Truth in the American Law of Evidence and Proof, 47 *U. Ga. L. Rev.* 801 (2013).

Wolves and Sheep, Predators and Scavengers, or Why I Left Civil Procedure (Not With a Bang, But a Whimper). 60 *UCLA L. Rev.* 1620 (2013).

Miscarriages of Justice: A Theoretical and Practical Overview, 7 *J. Marshall L. J.* 371 (2014) (With Lesley C. Risinger)

Commentary on Champod "Research focused mainly on bias will paralyze forensic science." (with Thompson et al.), *Science and Justice*, in press, (published online June 27, 2014).

At What Cost?--Blind Testing, Eyewitness Identification, and What Can and

Cannot Be Counted as a Cost of Reducing Information Available for Decision, 54 Howard L. J. 333 (2015).

Context Management Toolbox: A Linear Sequential Unmasking (LSU) Approach for Minimizing Cognitive Bias in Forensic Decision Making 60 Journal of Forensic Sciences 1111 (2015) (with Dror, Thompson, Meissner, Kornfield, Krane and Saks).

Forensic Bitemark Identification: Weak Foundations, Exaggerated Claims, Journal of Law and Biosciences, 3 J. Law and Biosciences 19 (2016) (with Saks et al.).

The Five Functions of Forensic Science and the Validation Issues They Raise: A Piece to Incite Discussion on Validation, 48 Seton Hall L. Rev. 719 (2018).

More Than Half A Century of Paul Giannelli—An Origin Story, 68 Case Western Res. L. Rev. 717 (2018).

Leveraging Surprise: What Standards of Proof Imply that We Want from Jurors and What We Should Say to Them to Get It, 48 Seton Hall L. Rev. 965 (2018).

The Science and Law Underlying Post-Conviction Challenges to Shaken Baby Syndrome Convictions: A Response to Professor Imwinkelried, 48 Seton Hall L. Rev. 1209 (2018) (with Findley).

Feigned Concensus: Usurping the Law in Shaken Baby/Abusive Head Trauma Prosecutions, 2019 Wisc. L. Rev. 2011(2020) (with Findley, Barnes, Mack, Moran, Scheck and Bohan).

Female Law Librarians as Pioneer Women Law Professors: A (Belated) Response to Dean Kay, with Som Suggested Additions to Her Canonical List., 13 Law Lib. J. 31 (2021).

Off the Rails: The Surprising Story of Smith v. Rapid Transit, Inc., 48 Seton Hall J. Leg. & Pub. Pol. 675 (2024).

Book

Chapters:

“Handwriting Identification,” Chapter 28 in Faigman, Kaye, Saks and Sanders, eds., **Modern Scientific Evidence, 2nd ed.** (West 2002, 2006)

“Preliminary Thoughts on a Functional Taxonomy of Expertise,” Chapter 2 in Faigman, Kaye Saks and Sanders, eds., **Modern Scientific Evidence, 2nd ed.** (West 2002, 2006).

“The Emerging Role of Innocence Lawyer and the Need for Role-differentiated Standards of Professional Conduct” in SARAH COOPER, ED, CONTROVERSIES IN INNOCENCE CASES IN AMERICA (Ashgate, 2014) (with Lesley C. Risinger).

Book

Review: Procedural Justice: A Psychological Analysis (Thibaut & Walker), 9 Seton Hall L. Rev. (1978)

Other: Supreme Court Preview: *Sandstrom v. Montana* (April 19, 1979)

Materials on the Singapore Evidence Act (1986) (with Chin et. al.)

Materials on Singapore Trial Practice (1986) (with Francis)

Introduction to: Peter Tillers, Taking Inference Seriously (Remarks on Receiving the John Henry Wigmore Award for Lifetime Achievement in Elucidating the Law of Evidence and the Process of Proof), 37 Cardozo L. Rev. 429 (2015).

An Introduction to Craig R. Callen’s Spotting a Preponderance of the Evidence in the Wild, 48 Seton Hall L. Rev. 1515 (2018)

Presentations
since 2000:

“The Requirement of Particularization in Evaluating Expert Dependability,” Symposium, At the *Daubert* Gate: Managing and Measuring Expertise in an Age of Science and Specialization, Washington & Lee Law School (April 3, 2000)

“*Kumho Tire* and the ‘Task at Hand,’” National Institute of Justice Conference on Law and Science, Miami, FL (Oct. 5, 2001)

“Distortion of Expert Results by Expectation and Suggestion,” 3rd Annual ALI-ABA program on New Directions in Expert Testimony, Bermuda (July 2002)

“The Implications of *Kumho Tire*,” 3rd Annual ALI-ABA program on New Directions in Expert Testimony, Bermuda (July 2002)

“Handwriting Identification and *Kumho Tire*,” AALS Evidence Section, Washington, D.C. (Jan. 3, 2003)

“*Kumho Tire* and Expert Admissibility,” Pennsylvania Judicial Conference, Hershey, PA (July 2003)

“The Future of Expert Testimony,” City Bar Center for Continuing Education, Association of the Bar, City of New York (Jan. 30, 2003)

“The Need for Reformed Procedures to Control Observer Effects in Forensic Science Practice,” Plenary Session of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences (Feb. 2004)

“Criminal Science: Litigation-Driven Research in the Criminal Justice System,” 3rd Annual Coronado Conference, Institute for Scientific Knowledge and Public Policy, San Diego, CA (March 2006)

“Conviction the Innocent: Solving the Denominator Problem” Innocence Network Conference, Seattle, WA (March 2006)

“Observer Effects and Erroneous Fingerprint Identifications,” Cyril Wecht Institute Annual Conference, Duquesne Law School (April, 2006)

“The Power of Suggestion,” Annual Conference of the Cyril Wecht Foundation on Science and the Law, Pittsburgh, PA (April 2006)

“Deriving an Empirically Justified Wrongful Conviction Rate,” National Innocence Network Conference, Seattle, Wash., April 2006

“The Demarcation Between Science and Non-Science—Does It Make a Difference,” Symposium, Expertise in the Courtroom: Scientists and Wizards, Villanova Law School (Oct. 21, 2006)

“Evaluating Expert Reliability” Symposium, “Scientists and Wizards”, Villanova Law School, October, 2006.

“The Need for Masking Protocols in Forensic Science Practice,” Presentation to the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council Committee on Identifying the Needs of the Forensic Science Community, Washington, D.C. (Jan. 26, 2007)

Panel discussion, “Is There a Need for Masking Protocols,” Sponsored By The American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors Laboratory Accreditation Board at the American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting, San Antonio, TX (Feb. 24, 2007)

Moderator, “Judging Expertise,” Conference on Science and Law, Brooklyn Law School, (March 2, 2007)

“The Effect of Context Bias in Forensic Science,” Conference on Science and the Law, South Texas College of Law, Houston, TX (May 22, 2007)

“The Need for Masking Protocols in Forensic Science Practice,” Forensic Bioinformatics Conference on DNA, Dayton, OH (Aug. 18, 2007)

“An Empirically Justified Wrongful Conviction Rate,” Brooklyn Law School Evidence Colloquium (Nov. 2007)

Moderator, “Guilt v. Guiltiness,” Program of the Evidence Section, Annual Meeting of the Association of American Law Schools, New York, N.Y. (Jan. 3, 2008)

“The Impact of Confirmation Bias and Context Effect on Report Writing in the Forensic Science Laboratory,” American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. (Feb. 19, 2008)

“Pattern Evidence and Conformance to the Requirements of *Daubert*,” American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. (Feb. 22, 2008)

“Game Over: How the Court’s Have Ignored *Kumbo Tire v. Carmichael* in Evaluating Prosecution-Proffered Expertise,” Symposium, Faces of Forensics: Identification and Behavior, Hastings College of the Law, San Francisco, CA (March 22, 2008)

“The Future of Innocence: As DNA Exonerations Decline Do Wrongful Convictions Still Matter?” D.C. Judicial and Bar Conference, Washington, D.C. (April 11, 2008)

Moderator, “The Forensic Science Paradox,” AALS Midyear Meeting (Evidence Section), Cleveland, OH (June 4, 2008)

“Good (and Bad) Advice for New Law Teachers” AALS Midyear Meeting (Evidence Section), Cleveland, OH (June 5, 2008)

“Lessons From DNA for the Evaluation of Other Common Non-Scientific Investigative Methods: Mugshots and Perpetrator Sketches” Forensic Bio-informatics DNA Conference, Dayton, Ohio (August 14, 2008)

“National Academy of Sciences Report on the Problems of Forensic Science,” FEDERAL BAR COUNCIL OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT, Lenox, Massachusetts, Oct. 24, 2009.

“The NAS Report on Forensic Science: Dead on Arrival?” Symposium: “Forensic Science: A Blueprint for the Future,” UCLA Law School, Feb. 18, 2010.

“Observer Bias and Forensic Science: The Empirical Record.” Full-day program on blind testing at the American Academy of Forensic Sciences (AAFS) Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, Feb. 23, 2010.

“Error Rates, Diagnosticity, and Research,” AAFS Sections on Jurisprudence and Engineering Sciences joint program, Seattle, Washington, Feb. 25, 2009.

“Why Actually Reading *Kumho Tire* Is Essential,” ABA Section on Criminal Justice Conference on Prescriptions for Criminal Justice Forensics, Fordham Law School, June 4, 2010.

Moderator, panel on *Ethics and Forensics*, ABA Section on Criminal Justice Conference on Prescriptions for Criminal Justice Forensics, Fordham Law School, June 4, 2010.

“Actual Innocence and the Trawl Search Problem in Eyewitness Identification,” at Symposium: Exonerating the Innocent—Pretrial Innocence Procedures, New York Law School, Nov. 5, 2010.

“Evaluating Claimed Innocence” guest lecture in the course “Exonerations” for Professor Steven Duke, Yale Law School, New Haven, Connecticut, December 7, 2010 (with Lesley C. Risinger).

“Expert Evidence,” guest lecture in Evidence for Professor Steven Duke, Yale Law School, New Haven, Connecticut, December 8, 2010.

“Then NAS Report and the Future of Forensic Science,” National Forensic Academy, University of Tennessee, Nashville, Tennessee, December 9-10, 2010.

“Observer Bias and Bitemark Identification,” Annual Meeting of the American Society of Forensic Odontologists, held in connection with the Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Chicago, Illinois, February 22, 2011.

“Expertise and Reliability” New Mexico Bar Association program on Forensic Science, Albuquerque, New Mexico, April 1, 2011.

“Expertise and Reliability ” at Conference: Scottish Evidence Law—Fit for Purpose?, Glasgow, Scotland, June 1, 2011.

“Experts and Context Bias—The Sequential Unmasking Solution” ” at Conference: Scottish Evidence Law—Fit for Purpose?, Glasgow, Scotland, June 2, 2011.

“Against Symbolization” at the Artificial Intelligence and Evidential Inference Workshop of the 13th Annual International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and the Law, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, June 9, 2011.

“Reservations about Likelihood Ratios,” 8th International Conference on Forensic Inference and Statistics, Seattle, Washington, July 20, 2011.

“Experts and Context Bias—The Sequential Unmasking Solution,” Plenary Session, The National Institute of Justice/FBI Trace Evidence Symposium, Kansas City, Missouri, August 8, 2011.

“What Statisticians Can Do for Forensic Science,” Young Statisticians Section of the Royal Statistical Society, London, England, September 28, 2011.

“Commentary on Neumann et al., *Quantifying the weight of evidence from a forensic fingerprint comparison: a new paradigm*,” Royal Statistical Society, London, England, September 28, 2011.

“Control of Forensic Science by Courts in America” at the Jill Dando Institute Centre for the Forensic Sciences, London, England, September 29, 2011.

“Recognizing and Challenging Misleading Forensic Evidence and Disingenuous Expert Testimony” American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting, Atlanta, Georgia, Feb. 21, 2012

“Science, Non-science, and the ‘Task at Hand’ Approach to Challenging Forensic Evidence,” Criminal Defense Attorneys of Michigan Spring Conference, Novi, Michigan, March 16, 2012.

“Ethical Implications of the Emerging Role of the Innocence Lawyer,” Conference of the Program for Understanding Law, Science and Evidence, UCLA Law School, June 1, 2012.

“Science, Non-science, and the ‘Task at Hand’ Approach to Challenging Forensic Evidence,” Navy JAG Justice Program Course on Complex Criminal Litigation, Newport, Rhode Island, June 5, 2012.

Invited Commenter, Workshop Program on Forensic Linguistics and Authorship Attribution, Brooklyn Law School, Oct. 11, 2012.

“What Statisticians (and good data) Can Do for Forensic Science: The Contrasting Cases of Fingerprints and Bitemarks, 2nd Biennial Symposium on Expert Evidence in Criminal Proceedings, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University, Toronto, Oct. 12, 2012.

“Searching for Truth in the Law of Evidence,” Symposium, Evidence Reform: Turning a Grotesque Structure into a Rational Edifice? University of Georgia Law School, January 18, 2013.

“Why I Left Civil Procedure,” UCLA Law Review's Annual Symposium--. 21st Century Litigation: Pathologies and Possibilities, A Symposium in Honor of Stephen Yeazell, Jan. 25, 2013.

“What Standards of Proof Imply We Want from Jurors, and What We Should Say to Them to Get It” University of Texas Law School, Feb. 11, 2013.

“Bias in Forensic Science and Other Empirical Research Issues,” Dr. Saul Kassir’s graduate laboratory for experimental psychology, John Jay College, New York, June 5, 2013.

“At What Cost? Blind Testing, Eyewitness Identification, and the Question of What Can and Cannot Be Counted as a Cost of Reducing Information Available for Decision” Conference: “When Less Information is Better: Blinding as a Solution for Institutional Corruption,” sponsored by the Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics and the Petrie-Flom Center for Health Law Policy, Biotechnology and Bioethics, Harvard Law School Nov. 1, 2013.

“The Need For Sequential Unmasking” American Academy of Forensic Sciences All Day Workshop on Bias, AAFS Annual Meeting, Feb. 17, 2014.

“Miscarriages of Justice: A Theoretical and Practical Overview,” Annual Law Review Symposium, Atlanta’s John Marshall Law School, Atlanta, Georgia, March 4, 2014.

“Biasing of Results and the Need for Sequential Unmasking Protocols in Forensic Science Practice, National Commission on Forensic Science, Washington, D.C., May 12, 2014.

“At What Cost?—What Can and Can’t Be Counted as a Cost of Adopting Blind Administration of Eyewitness Identification Procedures,” The Taslitz Galaxy Conference, Howard Law School, Washington, D.C., September 19, 2014.

“The Past Year’s Progress in Regard to Bias Control in Forensic Science,” American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting all-day workshop on Bias, Orlando Florida, February 17, 2015.

“The Lessons of Fingerprints and Bitemarks for Handwriting Identification”, National Association of Document Examiner’s Annual Meeting, Nashville, Tennessee, April 1, 2015.

“Compensation for the Convicted Innocent in New Jersey—Problems and Solutions”, Symposium, An Innocence-Centered View of New Jersey’s Post-Conviction Jurisprudence, Seton Hall Law School, March 1, 2024

Other: Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions, Santa Barbara, CA (March 1970). Paper on judicial review of legislatively found jurisdictional facts (with Ashford)

Editor, A.A.L.S. Civil Procedure Exam Collection (1979)

Speaker, The Judicial Conference of the United States Courts of the Third Circuit, Williamsburg, VA (Oct. 3, 1983). Topic: The Supervisory Powers of the U.S. Courts of Appeal

Grant Reviewer: National Endowment for the for the Humanities, 1991

Planning Committee, AALS Midyear Meeting 2008 (Evidence Section)

AALS Committee on Sections and Annual Meeting (2008-2011)

Peer Reviewer: *Science; Law and Social Science; International Commentary on Evidence; Law Probability and Risk; Jurimetrics*; Chicago University Press; Harvard University Press; Oxford University Press, others.